

## **Text Adoption Form**

Name of Course:	
Grade Level:	
Building:	
Department:	
Title:	
Author:	
Publisher:	
Copyright:	
Cover Price: \$	
Number Requested:	
<b>Expected Date of Implementation:</b>	
Signatures of staff who teach this course and reviewed the text	and completed the <u>Text Complexity Analysis</u> :
Teacher(s): [must include two or more teachers]	
Building Administrator:	Date / /
Director of Curriculum & Instruction:	Date / /
Approval: Yes No	
Deputy Superintendent:	Date / /
Superintendent of Schools	Date / /
Board of Education 1st Reading Date://	
Board of Education Approval Date://	
*Submit one copy of text with this form to the Deputy Superin	tendent's Office*

# **Text Complexity Analysis of**



Title:

**Author:** 

**Recommended Complexity Band:** 

Qualitative Measures Text Descr	ription
Meaning/Purpose: (Briefly explain the levels of meaning (Literary Text) or purpose (Informational text.)  Briefly describe	e the text:
	ve Measure
<b>Text Structure:</b> (Briefly describe the structure, organization, and other features of the text.)	nd Level (provide range):
Lexile Measure	e of the Text:
Below are favo	tions for Reader and Task ors to consider with respect to the reader & task: lenges this Text Poses:
Alignment to t this text:	he New York State Learning Standards for
Knowledge Demands: (Briefly describe the knowledge demands the text requires of students.)  Differentiation	n/Supports for Students:
Recommended Placement	

Please use the following rubric to complete the Text Complexity Analysis:

Briefly explain the recommended placement of the text in a particular grade band.



### Text Complexity: Qualitative Measures Rubric

# **INFORMATIONAL TEXT**

 Text Title\_\_\_\_\_\_
 Text Author\_\_\_\_\_\_

QUALITATIVE	Very Complex ←			Slightly Complex
PURPOSE	O Purpose: Subtle, implied, difficult to determine; intricate, theoretical elements	O Purpose: Implied, but fairly easy to infer; more theoretical than concrete	O <b>Purpose:</b> Implied, but easy to identify based upon context or source	O <b>Purpose:</b> Explicitly stated; clear, concrete with a narrow focus
TEXT STRUCTURE	Organization of Main Ideas: Connections between an extensive range of ideas or events are deep, intricate and often implicit or subtle; organization of the text is intricate or specialized for a particular discipline	Organization of Main Ideas: Connections between an expanded range ideas, processes or events are deeper and often implicit or subtle; organization may contain multiple pathways and may exhibit traits common to a specific discipline	<ul> <li>Organization of Main Ideas: Connections between some ideas or events are implicit or subtle; organization is evident and generally sequential</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Organization of Main Ideas: Connections between ideas, processes or events are explicit and clear; organization of text is clear or chronological or easy to predict</li> </ul>
	Text Features: If used, are essential in understanding content	Text Features: If used, greatly enhance the reader's understanding of content	<ul> <li>Text Features: If used, enhance the reader's understanding of content</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Text Features: If used, help the reader navigate and understand content but are not essential</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Use of Graphics: If used, extensive, intricate, essential integrated graphics, tables, charts, etc., necessary to make meaning of text; also may provide information not otherwise conveyed in the text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of Graphics: If used, essential integrated graphics, tables, charts, etc.; may occasionally be essential to understanding the text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of Graphics: If used, graphics mostly supplementary to understanding of the text, such as indexes, glossaries; graphs, pictures, tables, and charts directly support the text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of Graphics: If used, simple graphics, unnecessary to understanding the text but directly support and assist in interpreting the written text</li> </ul>
LANGUAGE	Conventionality: Dense and complex; contains abstract, ironic, and/or figurative language	<ul> <li>Conventionality: Complex; contains some abstract, ironic, and/or figurative language</li> </ul>	Conventionality: Largely explicit and easy to understand with some occasions for more complex meaning	Conventionality: Explicit, literal, straightforward, easy to understand
FEATURES	<ul> <li>Vocabulary: Generally unfamiliar, archaic, subject-specific, or overly academic language; may be ambiguous or purposefully misleading</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary: Somewhat complex language that is sometimes unfamiliar, archaic, subject-specific, or overly academic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary: Mostly contemporary, familiar, conversational; rarely unfamiliar or overly academic</li> </ul>	O <b>Vocabulary:</b> Contemporary, familiar, conversational language
	Sentence Structure: Mainly complex sentences often containing multiple concepts	<ul> <li>Sentence Structure: Many complex sentences with several subordinate phrases or clauses and transition words</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sentence Structure: Simple and compound sentences, with some more complex constructions</li> </ul>	Sentence Structure: Mainly simple sentences
KNOWLEDGE DEMANDS	Subject Matter Knowledge: Extensive, perhaps specialized or even theoretical discipline-specific content knowledge; range of challenging abstract and theoretical concepts	Subject Matter Knowledge: Moderate levels of discipline-specific content knowledge; some theoretical knowledge may enhance understanding; range of recognizable ideas and challenging abstract concepts	Subject Matter Knowledge: Everyday practical knowledge and some discipline-specific content knowledge; both simple and more complicated, abstract ideas	Subject Matter Knowledge: Everyday, practical knowledge; simple, concrete ideas
	<ul> <li>Intertextuality: Many references or allusions to other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intertextuality: Some references or allusions to other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intertextuality: A few references or allusions to other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intertextuality: No references or allusions to other texts, or outside ideas, theories, etc.</li> </ul>

### Questions to Consider in Planning for Instructional Scaffolding of Informational Text:



### Purpose:

- Would spending time helping students to establish a purpose for reading this text be appropriate?
- Will students know in advance what they are expected to do with the information they gain from reading this text?

### **Text Structure:**

- Would graphic organizers or other aids be appropriate in making the structure of the text visible to students?
- Would a partial outline or some other text-based aid be appropriate in deciphering the structure of the text?
- Would previewing and discussing the graphics included with the text prior to reading be appropriate?

### Language Features:

- Would a review of figurative, abstract, or ironic language and a modeling of how that type of language might be interpreted be appropriate?
- Would glossing certain vocabulary (particularly multiple meaning words that extend across other subject matter content areas, i.e. Tier 2 words) prior to reading be appropriate?

### **Knowledge Demands:**

- What background knowledge needs to be introduced (or re-introduced) to facilitate reading success that will not supplant the actual information gained from the reading experience?
- What explicit references and/or allusions to other texts might require additional resources/opportunities for students to explore?

### **General:**

• In what ways might collaborative groupings of students during the reading process be appropriate?



### Text Complexity: Qualitative Measures Rubric

## LITERARY TEXT

Text Title\_\_\_\_\_ Text Author\_\_\_\_

QUALITATIVE	Very Complex ←			Slightly Complex	
MEANING	Meaning: Several level/layers and competing elements of meaning that are difficult to identify, separate, and interpret; theme is implicit or subtle, often ambiguous and revealed over the entirety of the text	O Meaning: Several levels/layers of meaning that may be difficult to identify or separate; theme is implicit or subtle and may be revealed over the entirety of the text	Meaning: More than one level/layer of meaning with levels clearly distinguished from each other; theme is clear but may be conveyed with some subtlety	<ul> <li>Meaning: One level/layer of meaning; theme is obvious and revealed early in the text.</li> </ul>	
TEXT STRUCTURE	Narration: Complex and/or unconventional; many shifts in point of view and/or perspective	O Narration: Some complexities and/or unconventionality; occasional shifts in point of view and/or perspective	O Narration: Largely simple and/or conventional; few, if any, shifts in point of view and/or perspective	O Narration: Simple and conventional; no shifts in point of view or perspective	
TEXT STRUCTURE	Order of Events: Not in chronological order; heavy use of flashback	Order of Events: Several major shifts in time, use of flashback	Order of Events: Occasional use of flashback, no major shifts in time	Order of Events: Strictly chronological	
	Use of Graphics: If used, minimal illustrations that support the text	O <b>Use of Graphics:</b> If used, a few illustrations that support the text	O <b>Use of Graphics:</b> If used, a range of illustrations that support selected parts of the text	O <b>Use of Graphics:</b> If used, extensive illustrations that directly support and assist in interpreting the written text	
LANGUAGE	Conventionality: Dense and complex; contains abstract, ironic, and/or figurative language	<ul> <li>Conventionality: Complex; contains some abstract, ironic, and/or figurative language</li> </ul>	Conventionality: Largely explicit and easy to understand with some occasions for more complex meaning	Conventionality: Explicit, literal, straightforward, easy to understand	
FEATURES	<ul> <li>Vocabulary: Generally unfamiliar, archaic, subject-specific, or overly academic language; may be ambiguous or purposefully misleading</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary: Some use of unfamiliar, archaic, subject-specific, or overly academic language</li> </ul>	O <b>Vocabulary</b> : Mostly contemporary, familiar, conversational language; rarely unfamiliar or overly academic language	Vocabulary: Contemporary, familiar, conversational language	
	Sentence Structure: Mainly complex sentences often containing multiple concepts	O Sentence Structure: Many complex sentences with several subordinate phrases or clauses and transition words	<ul> <li>Sentence Structure: Simple and compound sentences, with some more complex constructions</li> </ul>	O Sentence Structure: Mainly simple sentences	
KNOWLEDGE DEMANDS	Life Experiences: Explores many complex and sophisticated themes; experiences are distinctly different from the common reader	O <b>Life Experiences:</b> Explores many themes of varying layers of complexity; experiences portrayed are uncommon to most readers	<ul> <li>Life Experiences: Explores few themes; experiences portrayed are common to many readers</li> </ul>	Life Experiences: Explores a single theme; experiences portrayed are everyday and common to most readers	
	Intertextuality and Cultural Knowledge:     Many references or allusions to other texts     or cultural elements	O Intertextuality and Cultural Knowledge: Some references or allusions to other texts or cultural elements	<ul> <li>Intertextuality and Cultural Knowledge: Few references or allusions to other texts o cultural elements</li> </ul>	Intertextuality and Cultural Knowledge:     No references or allusions to other texts or cultural elements	
	Subject Matter Knowledge: requires     extensive, perhaps specialized prior     content knowledge	Subject Matter Knowledge: requires moderate amount of prior content knowledge	O Subject Matter Knowledge: requires some prior content knowledge	O Subject Matter Knowledge: requires only everyday content knowledge	

### Questions to Consider in Planning for Instructional Scaffolding of Literary Text:



### **Meaning:**

- Would spending time helping students to understand the multiple layers/levels of meaning present in the text be appropriate?
- Will students know in advance what they are expected to do with the information they gain from reading this text (i.e., summarize, gather and apply details, analyze, synthesize, create)?

### **Text Structure:**

- Would graphic organizers or other aids be appropriate in making the structure of the text visible to students?
- Would a partial plotline, cast of characters, or some other text-based aid be appropriate in deciphering the structure of the text?
- Would previewing and discussing the graphics included with the text prior to reading be appropriate?

### **Language Features:**

- Would a review of figurative, abstract, or ironic language and a modeling of how that type of language might be interpreted be appropriate?
- Would glossing certain vocabulary (particularly multiple meaning words that extend across other subject matter content areas, i.e. Tier 2 words) prior to reading be appropriate?

### **Knowledge Demands:**

- What background knowledge needs to be introduced (or re-introduced) to facilitate reading success that will not supplant the actual information gained from the reading experience?
- What explicit references and/or allusions to other texts might require additional resources/opportunities for students to explore?

#### **General**:

• In what ways might collaborative groupings of students during the reading process be appropriate?

# Text Evaluation Tool: Diversity in Literature



Based on Teaching Tolerance Reading Diversity Lite (Teacher's Edition): A Tool for Selecting Diverse Texts

Title:_				Author(	s):						
1.	What voices/	perspectives/themes does th	is text i	nclude? Check all that apply. (	See Glo	ssary for cl	arification of * ter	ms)			
		Ability*		Religion		Place/G	eography	☐ Immigration Sta			atus
		LGBTQIA+		Race/BIPOC*		Ethnicity	·*		Gender		
		Age		Socioeconomics		Intersec	tionality*		Privilege	9	
		Language (bi/multi/ELL)		Other (please identify in empty spaces)							
			<u> </u>								
2.		ies or experiences of the aut ntribute to students' diverse		illustrator(s) character(s), spea	iker(s) c	or	□ YES		NO		N/A
	114114101(3) 60	Titribute to students diverse	reading	5 experiences.						l	
3.	Does this text accurately reflect lived experiences in terms of setting, characters, speakers,						□ YES		NO		N/A
	events, language and illustrations?								INO		IN/A
4.	Do the author	r's attitudes, beliefs, and poir	nt of vie	ew promote inclusion and equa	ality?		□ YES		NO		N/A
		•			ŕ		□ 1E3				IN/A
5.	Does the conte	nt perpetuate or rely on stereot	ypes, ge	eneralizations or misrepresentation	ons? (No	ote:	□ YES		NO		N/A
	A text may add	ress a stereotype without relyin	g on it.)								,
6.	Are certain peo	ople or groups left out or given o	nly a sil	ent/token/insubstantial role?			□ YES		NO		N/A
						L					
7.	Consider the ga	aps/silences. Are certain questio		☐ YES		NO		N/A			
8.	•		udents 6	encounter at school, home, in the	media		□ YES		NO		N/A
	and through cu	Iltural transmission?				Į					

9.	Consider the historical, social, and cultural context in which the text was written. Is the text relevant now?	YES	NO	N/A
10.	Does this text mirror the identities and experiences of my students?	YES	NO	N/A
11.	Does this text serve as a window into the identities and experiences of people whose lives are different than my students' lives?	YES	NO	N/A
12.	Does this text connect with the interests and concerns of my students?	YES	NO	N/A
13.	Does this text relate to and build upon the knowledge my students bring with them?	YES	NO	N/A
14.	Does this text promote a healthy self-concept and exploration of identity?	YES	NO	N/A
15.	Does this text foster intergroup understanding?	YES	NO	N/A
16.	Does this text raise awareness of prejudice and injustice?	YES	NO	N/A
17.	Might this text motivate students to act by highlighting individual and collective struggles against injustice?	YES	NO	N/A
18.	Overall, is this text <b>culturally authentic</b> (language, situations, illustrations accurately depict the cultural experiences of a particular cultural group); <b>culturally generic</b> (contains few and/or superficial details to define characters of various racial identities); <b>culturally neutral</b> (characters of "color" could be replaced by any racial group and it would not change the story).	Culturally Authentic	Culturally Generic	Culturally Neutral

### Glossary

- ❖ Ability Indication of varying abilities i.e., cognition, hearing, mobility, speech, vision, etc.
- **Ethnicity** Grouping of people based on shared attributes such as traditions, ancestry, language, history, society, culture, religion, etc.
- ❖ Intersectionality Overlapping nature of social categories i.e., race, class, gender, orientation, etc. (gay Black man, poor White woman)
- \* Race Grouping of humans that typically share or exhibit common physical traits i.e., White, Black, Asian, etc.
- ❖ BIPOC Black, Indigenous, People of Color

# Text Evaluation Tool: Diversity in Textbooks

Title:_					_Autho	r(s):					
1.	The te	xtbook reflects diversity and	inclusi	on in the following areas. Che	eck all t	hat apply. (See bel	ow for clarif	ication	of * terms)		
		Ability*		Religion	□ Place/Geography □ Ethnicity*				Immigration Sta	atus	
		LGBTQIA+		Race/BIPOC*					Gender		
		Age Socioeconomics Intersectionality*		/*		Privilege					
		Language (bi/multi/ELL)		Other (please identify in empty spaces)							
2.	2. Do the identities or experiences of the author(s), illustrator(s) contribute to students' diverse reading experiences?							ES	□ NO	□ N,	/A
3.	. Does this text's content/images of people represent diverse cultural heritages and accurately reflect lived experiences in terms of setting, events, language and illustrations?							ES	□ NO	□ <b>N</b> ,	I/A
4.				ely on stereotypes, generaliza dress a stereotype without re			□ <b>Y</b>	ES	□ NO		I/A
		,	,	,,	, 0	,					
5.	Are the	e contributions to the field that	are high	lighted in the textbook from a d	iverse ra	ange of scholars?	□ YE	:S	□ NO	□ N,	/A
6.	Are the	a contributions of a certain neo	ale or gr	ouns to this field left out or give	n only a		☐ YE	S	□ NO		/A
U.	Are the contributions of a certain people or groups to this field left out or given only a silent/token/insubstantial role?										
_								:S		,	
7.	7. Consider the gaps/silences. Are certain questions or issues related to the field of study omitted?								□ NO	□ N,	/A

- **Ability** Indication of varying abilities i.e., cognition, hearing, mobility, speech, vision, etc.
- **Ethnicity** Grouping of people based on shared attributes such as traditions, ancestry, language, history, society, culture, religion, etc.
- ❖ Intersectionality Overlapping nature of social categories i.e., race, class, gender, orientation, etc. (gay Black man, poor White woman)
- \* Race Grouping of humans that typically share or exhibit common physical traits i.e., White, Black, Asian, etc.
- ❖ BIPOC Black, Indigenous, People of Color