# **BALDWINSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

# PUBLIC BUDGET DOCUMENT FOR THE 2018 - 2019 BUDGET

# MAE E REYNOLDS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Plain Language Explanation of 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 1-16
Proposed 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 17-35
Administrative Compensation Information	Page 36
Property Tax Report Card	Page 37-39
Local Government Exemption Impact Report	Page 40-49
The New York State School District Report Card for the Baldwinsville Central School	
District	Page 50-94

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# BALDWINSVILLE CSD ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

K-12 ENROLLMENT

5.520

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GENDER**

MALE

FEMALE

2851

48%

# **ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY**

	GROUP		
AMERICANIN	IANOR ALASKA NATI	Æ	
BEACKORAFF	ICAN AMERICAN		
HISPANIC OR	ATINO		
ASIAN OR NAT	VĘ HAWAIIAN/OTHE	PACIFIC ISLANDE	
WHITE			
MULTIRACIAL			

OTAL	PERCENT
15	0%
115	2%
233	4%
67	1%
4,860	88%
and the story opposite the same and the same	and the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the sec

#### **OTHER GROUPS**

	ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
--	---------------------------

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

37

14%

293

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GRADE**


GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
KIFULIDAYI	387	7%
1ST GRADE	404	7%
2ND GRADE	383	7%
SRD GRADE	396	7%
4TH GRADE	434	8%
STHIGHTADE	412	7%
STH GRADE.	411	7%
UNGRADED ELEMENTARY	9	0%
THERADE	440	8%
STH GRADE	411	7%
TH GRADE	431	8%
10TH GRADE	437	8%
INTHGRADE	445	8%
EXTERGRADE	500	9%
UNGRADED SECONDARY	20	0%

# AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

		E (2016 - 17)
	GROUP	CLASSSIZE
COMMON BRANCH	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	23
GRADE 8 ENGLISH		21
GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS		18
GRADE 8 SCIENCE		14
GRADE 8 SOCIAL STUDIES		21
GRADE 10 ENGLISH		22
FRADE 10 MATHEMATICS		23
GRADE 10 SCIENCE		24
GRADE 10 SOCIAL STUDIES		26
	EE AND REDUCED-PRICE	ELUNCH (2016 - 17) ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH
1294	23%	170
	ATTENDANCE (2	015 - 16)
ANNUAL ATTENDANCE RATE		95%
	14. 7. 130 September 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	STUDENT SUSPENSIO	NS (2015 - 16)
	STUDENT SUSPENSIO	NS (2015 - 16)
TEAC	227	41
TEAC		2015-16 TO 2016-17)
TEAC	2" CHER TURNOVER RATE (2	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
TEAC	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	2015-16 TO 2016-17)
TEAC	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEFEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 1954	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%
TEAC TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	4X 2015-16 TO 2016-17) TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6X 2016 - 17)
TEAC TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEFEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 1954	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	4X 2015-16 TO 2016-17) TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6X 2016 - 17)
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT PRINCIPALS SSISTANT PRINCIPALS DTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  8
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT PRINCIPALS ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEFEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  7  141
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT PRINCIPALS SSISTANT PRINCIPALS OTHER PROFESSIONALS PARCIPAGE ESSIONALS	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2)	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57  141  ONS (2016 - 17)
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  FARAPROFESSIONALS  OTAL TEACHERS	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEFEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57  141  ONS (2016 - 17)
PRINCIPALS  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALSTAFF  PART PROFESSIONALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS  FRANCIPACHERS  FRANCIPACHERS  FRANCIPACHERS	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEFEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57  141  ONS (2016 - 17)
PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS  DIAL TEACHERS  EFECTIT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  ERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THE THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  1994  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP  TEACHER QUALIFICATION	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  7  141  ONS (2016 - 17)
PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFILESIONALSTAFF  PARAPROPESSIONALS  OTAL LEACHERS  EFF. STIT WHITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  EFF. STIT WHITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  EFF. STIT WHITH FEWER THAN THREE YEARS OF EXPERIE	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP  TEACHER QUALIFICATION NCE	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57  141  ONS (2016 - 17)
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WIT  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF	CHER TURNOVER RATE (2) THEEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUNTS (2) GROUP  TEACHER QUALIFICATION NCE	2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57  141  ONS (2016 - 17)

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# **GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 310

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	D PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL4	
ALLSTUDENTS	366		77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	322	43%	44	14%	140	43%	132	41%	6	2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	44	2%	33	75%	10	23%	1	2%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	4	_%		13.5		PARTE S				
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	_%		1886		No.				
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	18%	5	45%	4	36%	2	18%	0	0%
MHITE	330	39%	63	19%	137	42%	125	38%	5	2%
MULTIRACIAL	18	22%	6	33%	8	44%	3	17%	1	6%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	3	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%
EMALE	192	45%	27	14%	79	41%	82	43%	4	2%
MALE	174	30%	50	29%	71	41%	51	29%	2	1%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	361	38%	77	21%	146	40%	132	37%	6	296
NGLEH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	102	26%	35	34%	40	39%	26	25%	1	1%
NOTECONO MICALLY DISABVANTAGED	264	42%	42	16%	110	42%	107	41%	5	2%
NOTMIGRANT	366	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%


# **GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT:	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	362		59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12.6
GENERALEDUCATION	311	49%	32	10%	128	41%	109	35%	42	14%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	51	6%	27	53%	21	41%	3	6%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_%					410			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	2	_%								
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	7	_%				Site N				
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	29%	6	25%	11	46%	6	25%	1	4%
WHITE	306	44%	49	16%	121	40%	98	32%	38	12%
MUETIRACIAL	22	36%	2	9%	12	55%	6	27%	2	9%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	10	30%	2	20%	5	50%	2	20%	1	10%
FEMALE V	187	52%	25	13%	65	35%	69	37%	28	15%

MALE	175	33%	34	19%	84	48%	43	25%	14	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	_%						Ann be a special second		0.0
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	_%					***************************************		in the same	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	125	26%	38	30%	55	44%	24	19%	8	6%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	237	51%	21	9%	94	40%	88	37%	34	
NOTMIGRANT	362	43"6	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	14%

# **GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 308

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEV	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12%	
GENERALEDUCATION	299	45%	47	16%	118	39%	93	31%	41	14%	
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	43	9%	33	77%	6	14%	4	9%	0	0.4	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	4	-%									
BLACKORÁFRICANAMERICAN	3	_%						+ 1 1 1			
HISPANIGORIATINO	18	33%	8	44%	4	22%	4	22%	2	119	
WHITE, Value of the second of	301	40%	66	22%	115	38%	85	28%	35	129	
MULTIRACIAL	16	50%	6	38%	2	13%	5	31%	3	199	
SMALE GROUP TOTAL	7.4	57%	0	0%	3	43%	3	43%	1	149	
FEMALE	163	47%	27	17%	59	36%	49	30%	28	17%	
MALE	179	34%	53	30%	65	36%	48	27%	13	7%	
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	340	_%									
ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	2	_%									
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	95	20%	41	43%	35	37%	12	13%	7	7%	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	48%	39	16%	89	36%	85	34%	34	149	
NOTMIGRANT	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	129	


# **GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
GENERAL EDUCATION	269	34%	42	16%	136	51%	60	22%	31	12%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	33	3%	29	88%	3	9%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	-%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	-%						i i i		
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%

HISPANICOR LATINO	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	2	13%
WHITE	264	30%	56	21%	128	48%	56	21%	24	970
MULTIRACIAL	13	54%	3	23%	3	23%	4	31%	3	23%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%
FEMALE	137	34%	23	17%	65	47%	31	23%	18	13%
MALE	165	26%	48	29%	74	45%	30	18%	13	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED ~	62	11%	23	37%	32	52%	5	8%	2	3%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADYANTAGED .	240	35%	48	20%	107	45%	56	23%	29	12%
NOT MIGRANT	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%

# **GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 305

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED.	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
AL STUDENTS A	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%
ENERALEDUCATION	223	40%	29	13%	104	47%	78	35%	12	5%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	0%	28	80%	7	20%	0	0%	0	0%
MERICANINDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	%							A 1787 M	
SIANORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	%			Santara					
EACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	%								
ISPANICOR LATINO	16	13%	4	25%	10	63%	2	13%	0	0%
NHME :	224	38%	42	19%	96	43%	74	33%	12	5%
NULTIRACIAL.	10	10%	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%
MALKGROUP TOTAL	8	13%	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%
ÉMALE	136	39%	27	20%	56	41%	44	32%	9	7%
MALE	122	30%	30	25%	55	45%	34	28%	3	2%
NON-ENGUSH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%
CONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	68	12%	34	50%	26	38%	8	12%	0	0%
HOTECONOMICALLY DEADYANTAGED	190	43%	23	12%	85	45%	70	37%	12	6.0
NOTMIGRANT	258	35%	57	22%	111	43.	78	30%	12	5%


# **GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	/EL 3	LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%
GENERAL EDUCATION	201	56%	20	10%	69	34%	82	41%	30	15%

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	30	7%	21	70%	7	23%	1	3%	1	3%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	1	_96								
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	5	.%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	11	13%	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%
WHITE	199	53%	31	16%	63	32%	76	38%	29	15%
MULTIRACIAL	12	25%	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TO TAL.	9	44%	3	33%	2	229	2	22%	2	22%
FEMALE	116	54%	14	12%	39	34%	45	39%	18	16%
MALE	115	44%	27	23%	37	32%	38	33%	13	11%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	230	_%								
ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	1	.%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	57	30%	20	35%	20	35%	15	26%	2	4%
NOTECONO MICALLY DISADVANTAGED	174	56%	21	12%	56	32%	68	39%	29	17%
NOTMIGRANT	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%


# **GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 316

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT <sup>(*)</sup>	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
Austroens	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23%
GENERALECUCATION	319	64%	29	9%	85	27%	121	38%	84	26%
STUDENTSWITHOUSAULITIES	44	16%	27	61%	10	23%	6	14%	1	2%
AGANORNATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	4 3 1 3 1 3 1	-%								
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	_%					_			
HISPANICOR LATINO . ,	10	50%	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%
WHITE	327	59%	44	13%	89	27%	119	36%	75	23%
MULTIRACIAL	19	53%	7	37%	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%
MALLGROUP TOTAL	7	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	3	43%
FEMALE	189	61%	24	13%	49	26%	69	37%	47	25%
MALE	174	55%	32	18%	46	26%	58	33%	38	22%
NON-ENGLISH FANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	59%	55	15%	93	26%	126	35%	84	23%
ENGLISH LÄNGUAGE LEARNERS	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	99	39%	28	28%	32	32%	28	28%	11	1196
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	66%	28	11%	63	24%	99	38%	74	28%
NOT MIGRANT	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23%


# **GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 312

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1 <sub>,</sub>	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3 .	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%
GENERALEDUCATION	313	57%	31	10%	105	34%	88	28%	89	28%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	54	11%	28	52%	20	37%	6	11%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	1	_%								
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	*	1 - 2							
BĽACKÓRAFRICÁNÁMERICAN	8	%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	50%	7	29%	5	21%	5	21%	7	29%
WHITE	310	51%	44	14%	108	35%	84	27%	74	24%
MULTIRACIAL	21	33%	5	24%	9	43%	4	19%	3	14%
SVALLIGROUPTOTAL	12	50%	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	5	42%
FEMALE .	183	50%	29	16%	63	34%	45	25%	46	25%
MALE	184	50%	30	16%	62	34%	49	27%	43	23%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	362	50%	59	16%	121	33%	93	26%	89	25%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	121	31%	40	33%	44	36%	24	20%	13	11%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	246	59%	19	8%	81	33%	70	28%	76	31%
NOTMIGRANT	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%


# **GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 317

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED.	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%
GENERAL EDUCATION	295	60%	53	18%	66	22%	115	39%	61	21%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	41	17%	25	61%	9	22%	5	12%	2	5%
ASIÁN OR NATIVE HÁWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	4	%						Part of		
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	*							-	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	18	39%	10	56%	1	6%	4	22%	3	17%
WHITE	297	56%	64	22%	67	23%	113	38%	53	18%
<b>MULTIRACIAL</b>	15	47%	3	20%	5	33%	2	13%	5	33%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	6	50%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%
FEMALE	158	49%	42	27%	39	25%	46	29%	31	20%
MALE	178	60%	36	20%	36	20%	74	42%	32	18%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	334	*							12 18	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	_%								
ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	89	31%	39	44%	22	25%	17	19%	11	12%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	63%	39	16%	53	21%	103	42%	52	21%
NOTMIGRANT	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%


# **GRADE 6 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	'EL 2	LEV	/EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
GENERAL EDUCATION	272	59%	21	8%	91	33%	87	32%	73	27%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	3%	26	81%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_96						1		
ASAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%			2					
BLACKOR A FRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATING	13	31%	5	38%	4	31%	2	15%	2	159
уньте	268	53%	36	13%	89	33%	77	29%	66	25%
<b>JULTIRACIAL</b>	13	77%	2	15%	1	8%	8	62%	2	159
MALL GROUP TOTAL	5	80%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	3	609
EMALE	138	51%	20	14%	47	34%	43	31%	28	209
MALE	166	54%	27	16%	49	30%	45	27%	45	279
ON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	249
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	65	23%	22	34%	28	43%	12	18%	3	5%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	239	61%	25	10%	68	28%	76	32%	70	29)
NOTMIGRANT	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	243

# **GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.




GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ÆL1	LEV	/EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
GENERALEDUCATION	228	52%	26	11%	84	37%	100	44%	18	8%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	0%	23	72%	9	28%	0	0%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ABASKA NATIVE	2	_%	1							
ASÁNORNATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHERPACIFIC_	3	26					-			
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	4	_%					1		Partie 18	
ISPANICORLATINO	17	24%	5	29%	8	47%	3	18%		6%
WHITE	225	50%	34	15%	79	35%	96	43%	16	7%
MULTIRACIAL	9	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	1	11%
MALLGROUPTOTAL	9	11%	6	67%	2	22%	1	11%	0	096
EMALE	141	41%	25	18%	58	41%	19	35%	9	6%
MALE	119	50%	24	20%	35	29%	51	43%	9	8°6
ON ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	72	22%	32	44%	24	33%	12	17%	4	6%

The state of the s										
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	188	54°6	17	9%	69	37%	88	47%	14	7%
NOTMIGRANT	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%

# **GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP.



#### MEAN SCORE: 273


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%
GENERAL EDUCATION	48	4%	27	56%	19	40%	2	4%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	26	8%	19	73%	5	19%	2	8%	0	0%
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2	_%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	7	_%								
WHITE	57	7%	31	54%	22	39%	4	7#6	0	0%
MULTIVACIAL	8	0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%
SMALEGROUPTOTAL	9	0%	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE.	38	5%	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	0	0%
MARE	36	6%	21	58%	13	36%	2	6%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	73	_%				3.0				
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	.96		Tarine.	No.					
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	27	7%	17	63%	8	30%	2	7%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	47	4%	29	62%	16	34%	2	4%	0	0%
NOTMIGRANT	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEVEL	1	LEV	/EL2	LEV	ÆL3	4&A	BOVE	3 & A	BOVE
ALLSTUDENTS	233	0	0%	o	0%	20	9%	213	91%	233	100%


# **GRADE 4 SCIENCE**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%
GENERAL EDUCATION	337	95%	5	1%	12	4%	99	29%	221	66%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	57	65%	9	16%	11	19%	26	46%	11	19%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_%					THE S			
SIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	9	_%		S. P. S.		TRIES.	75208			THE.
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	88%	1	4%	2	8%	10	42%	11	46%
WHITE	334	91%	13	4%	17	5%	103	31%	201	60%
MULTIRACIAL	23	87%	0	0%	3	13%	6	26%	14	61%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	13	92%	0	0%	1	8%	6	46%	6	46%
FEMALE	200	92%	6	3%	11	6%	61	31%	122	61%
MALE	194	90%	8	4%	12	6%	64	33%	110	57%
non-engelshlanguageleärners	390	_*						100		
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED .	136	78%	13	10%	17	13%	56	41%	50	37%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	258	97%	1	0%	6	2%	69	27%	182	71%
NOTMIGRANT	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%

# **GRADE 8 SCIENCE**

Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 3 Science Test.



#### MEAN SCORE: 64

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL 2	LEV	/EL 3	LEV	/EL4
ALL STUDENTS	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%
GENERALEDUCATION	42	60%	1	2%	16	38%	25	60%	0	0%
STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES :	21	29%	4	19%	11	52%	6	29%	0	0%
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	%								
HISPANIC OR LATING	9	33%	0	0%	6	67%	3	33%	0	0%
WHITE	47	57%	4	9%	16	34%	27	57%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	5	_%						1		
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	14%	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	0	0%
PEMALE	30	37%	0	0%	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%
MALE	33	61%	5	15%	8	24%	20	61%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISHKANGUAGELEARNERS	62	<b>.%</b>			denomination of the second					
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	*								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	58%	2	8%	9	35%	15	58%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	37	43%	3	8%	18	49%	16	43%	0	0%
NOT MIGRANT	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	Q%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS SCIENCE TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who take a Regents science test in lieu of the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVE	L1	LEV	ÆL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ÄLLSTUDENTS	259	99%	0	0%	2	1%	105	41%	152	59%


# RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS (2016 - 17)



RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS TAKING NYSE SLAT IN LIEU OF NYSTP




# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	97%	0	0%	0	0%	45	10%	402	87%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	63%	8	12%	5	8%	14	22%	27	42%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NÄTTVE	2	_96								
ASAN ORNATIVE HAWAIJA MOTHER PACIFIC	13	.%		1825						
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	. 2	13%	1	7%	6	40%	5	33%
HISPANIC OR EATINO	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	23	92%
WHITE	458	93%	5	1%	4	1%	46	10%	381	83%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	7	47%
SMALL GROUPTOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	13	87%
FEMALE	250	92%	3	1%	2	1%	16	6%	214	86%
MALE	278	93%	5	2%	3	1%	43	15%	215	77%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%								
ENGLISHTANGUAGELEARNERS	1	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGES	120	80%	6	5%	3	3%	23	19%	73	61%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	96%	2	0%	2	0%	36	9%	356	87%
NOTMIGRANT	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ÆL1	LÉV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%
GENERALEDUCATION	463	96%	2	0%	7	2%	201	43%	245	53%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	57%	6	9%	14	22%	29	45%	В	12%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_96				100				
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	.%								

ALL STUDENTS	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	2.04		
GENERALEDUCATION	463	95%	0	0%	5			2 %	352	67%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	52%	4			1%	106	23%	336	73%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	·			6.6	11	17%	18	28%	16	25%
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	*								
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	73%	0	09	1	7%				
HISPANIC OR LATING	25	96%	0	0%			7	479	4	27%
WHITE AND A STATE OF THE STATE	458	91%	4		1	4%	6	32%	16	64%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%		1%	14	3%	101	22%	315	69%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	15		0.	0%	0	0%	5	33%	. 6	40%
EMALE	The second second	93%	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%	11	73
	250	90%	2	1%	8	3%	60	24%	164	66%
MALE	278	91%	2	1%	. 8	3%	64	23%	188	68%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	-%				1274			anima pinala	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	.%	1							
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTÁGED	120	75%	2	2%	9	8%				
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	95%	2	0%	7		42	35%	48	40%
NOT MIGRANT	528	90%	in alternation			2%	82	20%	304	75%
		70%	4	1%	16	3%	124	23%	352	67%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED,	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL1약 후	LĘV	/EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	528	92%	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	63%
GENERALEDUCATION	463	96%	1	09	8	2%	122	26%	323	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES .	65	62%	3	5%	9	14%	29	45%		70%
AMERICÂN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATĪVE	2	96						45%	11	17%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC	13	%								
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	2	13%	7		-	-
ISPANIC OR LATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%		47%	4	27%
Minute	458	93%	2	0%	14	3%	12	48%	, 11 , 1-	44%
METRACIAL	15	67%		7%		7%	126	28%	301	6616
MALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%			4	27%	6	40%
EMALE.	250	91%	2	1%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
ALE	278	92%	2	follow has -	7	3%	75	30%	153	61%
ION-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	527	%		1%	10	4%	76	27%	181	65%
NGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS		- °						-		
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	80%					- 1			-
OFECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	95%	3	3%	9	8%	52	43%	44	37%
OTMIGRANT	528		1	0%	8	2%	99	24%	290	71%
	528	92	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	63%

Regents Examination Results (2016 - 17)


# ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)** 

GROUP TOTALTESTED LEVEL1 LEVEL2 LEVEL3 LEVEL4 LEVEL5


BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	80%	3	20%	0	0%	10	67%	2	13%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	15	60%	9	36%
WHITE :	458	92%	4	1%	19	4%	194	42%	229	50%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	2	13%	. 7	47%	4	27%
SMALEGROUP TOTAL	.15	87%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	9	60%
FEMALE	250	92%	2	1%	10	4%	103	41%	126	50%
MALE	278	91%	6	2%	11	4%	127	46%	127	46%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_x							-	
INCLISH LANGUAGE EARNERS	1	.%			-		1		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	84%	6	5%	7	6%	76	63%	25	21%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	94%	2	0%	14	3%	154	38%	228	56%
NOT MIGRANT	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	E <b>l.</b> 4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%
SENERAL EDUCATION	463	95%	2	0%	12	3%	159	34%	280	60%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	45%	7	11%	12	18%	16	25%	13	20%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	%					4			
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	_%			-	-				
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	1	7%	8	53%	3	20%
HEPANICORLATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
WHITE	458	90%	8	2%	20	4%	147	32%	263	57%
MULTIRACIAL	15	67%	0	0%	3	20%	6	40%	4	27%
SMALL GROUPTOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
FEMALE .	250	88%	2	1%	13	5%	83	33%	136	54%
MALE	278	90%	7	3%	11	496	92	33%	157	56%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%	- 1				-			
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%	1000		Tong and The Control					angeli ander
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	73%	5	4%	13	11%	52	43%	36	30%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	93%	4	1%	11	3%	123	30%	257	63%
NOTMIGRANT	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



LL STUDENTS	457	20	4%	9	2 6	49	11%	61	13%	318	70%
GENERAL ÉDUCATION	410	9	2%	5	1%	39	10%	46	11%	311	76%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	11	23 a	4	9%	10	21%	15	32%	7	15%
MERICAN INDIANORALASCA NATIVE	3						ing allowing				
ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFÍC	. 8										
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	14	3	2 6	1	7%	1	7%		21%	6	43%
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	0	0%	0	0	2	18%	. 1	9%	8	73
WHITE I	407	17	4%	. 7	2%	43	11%	56	14%	284	7 %
MULTIRACIAL	14	0	0%	1	7%	. 3	2 16	1	796	9	64%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
FEMALE	209	3	1%	3	1%	15	79	20	10%	168	80%
VALE.	248	17	7%	6	2%	34	14%	4	17%	150	60%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	457	20	4%	9	2	49	11%	61	13%	318	70%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	105	10	10%	4	4%	24	23%	25	24%	42	40%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	352	10	3%	5	1%	25	7%	36	1%	276	78%
NOT MIGRANT	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	318	70%

# ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

# REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

GROUP ;	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	6	5	· 清。8	5)
ALL STUDENTS	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
SENERAL EDUCATION	14						
Zampievachuw swedum	1						
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	i						
vients.	13		Le lie Landella				
RULTIRACIAL	1						10.16.2
MALL GROUP TO TAL	15	8	53%		40%	3	20%
EMALE	10	4	40%	2	20%	1	10%
IÁLE	Ś	4	80%	4	80%	2	40%
ON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	3						
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	12						
OTMIGRANT	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%

# ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

# ALGEBRAI (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL5
ALLSTUDENTS	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	3 %	181	30%
GENERAL EDUCATION	514	3	1%	20	4%	136	26%	177	34%	178	35%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	85	19	22%	21	25%	34	40%	8	9%	3	49
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2										
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	13					1.31					
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	2	13%	2	13%	9	60%	2	13%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	17	0	0%	1	6 6	8	17%	4	24%	4	24%
WHITE	535	20	4%	38	7%	145	27%	163	30%	169	32%
MULTIRACIAL	17	0	0%	0	0.6	5	29%	9	53%	3	18%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	0	0%	o	0%	3	20%		47%	5	33%
FEMALE	289	9	3%	13	4%	72	25%	102	35%	93	32%
MALE	310	13	4%	28	9%	98	32%	83	27%	88	28%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUA GE LEARNERS	594	22	4%	41	7.6	168	28%	183	31%	180	30%
ENGLIGH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	167	14	8%	18	11%	82	49%	35	21%	18	11%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	432	8	2%	23	5%	88	20%	150	35%	163	38%
NOT MIGRANT	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	31%	181	30%

# **COMMON CORE GEOMETRY**

#### **REGENTS COMMON CORE GEOMETRY**

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL5
ALLSTUDENTS	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%
GENERAL EDUCATION	416	40	10%	57	14%	160	38%	48	12%	111	27%
TÜDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	13	3	23%	4	31%	5	38%	1	8%	0	000
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	5	0	D%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	7	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	σ	0%	0	0%
HISPANICORLATING	13	2	15%	2	15%	6	46%	2	15%	1	8%
WHITE	393	34	9%	54	14%	151	38%	47	12%	107	27%
MULTIRACIAL	11	3	27%	3	27%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE	205	19	9%	29	14%	74	36%	27	13%	56	27%
bale	224	24	11%	32	14%	91	41%	22	109	55	25%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	427										
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2										
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	83	15	18%	22	27%	32	39%	9	11%	5	6
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	346	28	8%	39	11%	133	38 1	40	12%	106	31%
NOTMIGRANT	429	43	10%	- 61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	1.1	26%

# ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

# ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEX	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	ÆL4	LEV	/EL5
ALL STUDENTS	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%
GENERALEDUCATION	304	13	4%	18	6%	146	48%	83	27%	44	14%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	0	0%	3	27%	7	64%	1	9%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2										
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACÍFIC	7	0	09	0	0.6	3	43%	2	29%	2	29%
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	4									5 = 1 61	
LISPANICOR LATINO	7	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
WHITE	288	10	3%	19	7%	139	48%	78	27%	42	153
MULTIRACIAL	7	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
MALLGROUPTOTAL	6	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%
EMALE	166	11	7%	13	8%	67	40%	50	30%	25	159
MARE	149	2	1%	8	5%	86	58%	34	23%	19	139
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	149
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTA GED	51	7	14%	6	12%	28	55%	8	16%	2	4%
HOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	6	2%	15	6%	125	47%	76	29%	42	169
HOTMIGRANT	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	149

# GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

# REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		5	6	5	8	5
ALL STUDENTS	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%
GENERALEDUCATION	422	398	94%	370	88%	213	50%
езпількантій при	75	46	61%	32	43%	5	7%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1						
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	4			and works and sometyping			7 1
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14	8	57%	6	43 6	0	0%
HISPANICOR LATINO 1/22	14	13	93%	13	93%	5	36%
WHITE	452	408	90%	370	82%	205	45%
MULTIRACIAL	12	10	83%	8	67%	3	25%
SWALLGROUP TOTAL 1	5 .	5	100%	5 ********	100%		100%
FEMALE	235	225	96%	201	86%	106	45%
Male	262	219	84	201	77%	112	43%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	494						
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	3						
ECONO MICALLY DISADVANTAGED	143	117	82%	96	67%	2	22%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	354	327	92	306	86%	186	53 6
NOTMIGRANT:	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44 6

# **U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT**

#### **REGENTS U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT**

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	5	5	. 6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	462	446	97	424	92	301	659
GENERALEDUCATION	416	407	98	396	95%	289	69%
STUD DATS WITH DISABILITIES	46	39	85	28	61%	12	26%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	8						
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	17	15	88%	12	71%	3	18%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	10	10	100%	9	90%	7	70%
white.	410	396	97%	378	92%	276	67%
MULTIRACIÁL	14	14	100%	14	100%	6	43%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	11	11	100%	11	100%	9	82%
FEMALE	218	214	98%	202	939	144	66%
MALE	244	232	95%	222	91%	157	64%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	462	446	97%	424	92%	30	65%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	115	106	92%	92	80%	45	39%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	347	340	98	332	96%	256	74%
NOTMIGRANT	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%

# LIVING ENVIRONMENT

#### REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	j	5	6	5.		
ALL STUDIENTS	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%
SENERALEDUCATION	656	645	98%	627	96%	370	56%
TUDÊNTSÊWYÊ DISABILÎTIES.	63	50	79%	36	57%	10	16%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2						
SAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	16	16	100%	16	100%	13	81%
BLÁCKOR ÁFRICANAMERICAN	14						
HISPANICOR LATING	19	19	100%	17	89%	7	37%
WHITE I A TOP I WANTED	647	627	97%	603	93%	353	55%
MULTIRACIAL	21	21	100€	19	90%	5	24%
SMALLGROUP TOTAL	16	12	75%	8	50%	2	13%
EMALE	356	348	98%	331	93	180	51%
MALE	363	347	96%	332	91%	200	55 6
HON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	715						
ENGUSHEANGUAGÉTEARNERS	4						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	160	143	89%		75%	38	24%
HOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	559	552	99%	543	97%	342	61%
NOTHIGRANT	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

# REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		55		35	8	35
ALLSTUDENTS	67	67	00%	65	97%	42	
ENERAL EDUCATION,	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	63%
STAN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	1		1			42	63%
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2						_
ISPANIC OR LATING	1						
VHITE	61	61	100%	59	97%		
MULTIRACIAL	2					39	64%
MALL GROUP TO TAL	6	6	100%	6	00%		-
EMALE	25	25	100%	25	and the same of the same	3	50%
MALE	42	42	100%	40	100%	15	604
ON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	67	100%	and the second discount of the second	95%	27	64%
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED			100%	65	97%	42	63.
IOT ÉCONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	66						· ·
OT MIGRANT	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	63%

# NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
A DESERA	3	*				
ADESMATH	3	.%				
IADE4ELA	1	%				
ADE 4MATH	1	%				
ADE4SCIENCE	2	_%				
ADESELA	2	%				
ADESMATH	2	%				
ADESELA	2	%				
ADE6MATH 1	2	%				
ADE7ELA	1	%				
ADE7MATH	1 1 1 1	%				
RADEBELA	7	100%	0	0	7	0
ADE8MATH	7	43%	1	3	3	0
IADES SCIENCE	6	83%	0		5	0
CONDARY LEVELEIA	3	%				<b>,</b>
CONDARY-LEVEL MATH	3	_%				
CONDARY-LEVELSCIENCE	3	*				
CONDARY LEVELSOCIAL STUDIES	3	*				

# NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	The second second	INDERGARTEN ENTERING EMERGING	TRANSITIONING EXPANDING	COMMANDING
Ĺ STUDENTS	2			
ENERALEDÚCATION	2			

# PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

#### REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	. 5	5	. 6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%
GENERAL EDUCATION	32	27	84.6	19	59%	3	9%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	20	57%	14	40%	2	6%
ASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	2						
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN .	4						
HISPANIC OR LATINO	3						
WHITE	55	40	73%	29	53%		7%
MULTIRACIAL	3						
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	12	7	58%	4	33%	1	8%
FEMALE	24	15	63%	11	46%	1	4%
MALE	43	32	749	22	51%	4	9%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	66						
ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	t						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	33	22	67%	14	42%	1	3%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	34	25	74%	19	56%	4	12%
NOTMIGRANT	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

#### REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	5	5	6	5	.8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%
GENERAL EDUCATION	419	391	93%	342	82%	95	23%
STUDIENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	10	91%	5	45%	1	9%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	7	6	86%	5	71%	2	29%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4						
HISPANICOR LATINO	10	10	100%	8	80%	0	0%
WHITE	397	372	94%	323	81%	93	23%
MULTIRACIAL	9	6	67%	6	67%	0	0%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	7	7	100%	5	71%	1	14%
FEMALE	222	209	94%	183	82%	56	25%
MALE	208	192	92%	164	79%	40	19%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	429						
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	i						-1
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED.	69	60	87%	46	67%	10	14%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTÂGED	361	341	9416	301	83%	86	21%
NOTMIGRANT	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	6	Q%	33%	17%	50%	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	i					
		(11.4)	) i j			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	6	06	ON	0%	80%	20%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1	$\frac{z}{z}$				
		GRA	DE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLETUDENTS	7	0%	14	0%	57%	29%
GENERAL EDUCATION	7	0%	14	0%	57%	29%
		GRA				
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING.	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEOUCATION	5	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%
STUDENTS WITH OLABRITIES	100					
		GRA	DE 5			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	2					· i · i · i · i · i · i · i · i · i · i
GENEROLEZOGUATION	2		- 60		isoraile.	
	Figure was properly	GRA	DE 8			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION .	1		1 1 2			
		APR 3115 1				
	jana artinganerga	GRA		Company of the Compan	the state of	
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
GENERAL EDUCATION	4.4					
		7" 53 A.F	3 F 4 / 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	GRAL				
GROOP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING 	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING

GROUP TOTAL TESTED ENTERING	RADE 12
GROUP TOTAL TESTED ENTERING	
GROOT TOTAL TESTED ENTERING	
ALL STUDENTS 1	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES 1	

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

(Wout)	MMDE XYP	TESTED (3):	STUDENTS ENROLLED DUPING THETEST ADMINISTRATION Y PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	FF - EAMO OR SAFE HAPBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON EEDSDAY	P	EAHO	SAFE HARBOI TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5,050*	74%	YES	1,848	120	108	108
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			7			6	_	-	
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	NO	NO	87*	67%*	NO	53°	77	85	82
HISPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	231*	80%*	NO	94	88	92	92
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC			25			20			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,432*	73%	YES	1,614	122	121	121
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	228"	73%"	YES	88	111	101	101
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	751'	68%"	NO	272†	47†	78	62
LIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT	_	_	15			12			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	1,486*	70%*	NO	504	88	97	94

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	I TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN O PALASKA NATIVE	5,035*	74%	1,842	120
NOTELACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4,963*	74%	1,822	120
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	4,819*	73%*	1,754	121
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PA_	4,993	73%	1,828	119
NOT WHITE A PART OF THE PART O	618*	76%'	234	100
NOTMULTIRACIAL	4,822*	74%'	1,760	120
GENERALEDUCATION	4,299*	75%*	1,604	131
ENGLISH PROFICIENT .	5.024*	73%*	1,836	120
NOT ECONOMICALLY O LE DE VANTAGED	3,564*	75%*	1 344	132
MALE	2.570	73%*	922	108
PEMALE	2,480*	74%*	926	131
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	5.050*	74%*	1.848	120

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	MADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS EMROLLED DURING THE TEST	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH	PI >= EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDSDAY	PI EAMO	SAFE HARDOR TARGET
			ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	VALID TEST SCORES				T E
			PERIOD					

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

ALL STUDENTS	NO	NO	5.042*	75%*	YES	1,923	140	107	107
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	-		7		-	6	=		-
BLACKORÁFRICANAMERICAN	NO	NO	88"	61%*	NO	470	72	80	78
HISPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	228*	80%*	YES	94	103	90	90
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	_	_	25			22			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,428*	75%*	YES	1,691	144	118	118
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	226*	73%*	YES	88	116	95	95
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	750*	66%*	NO.	269†	62†	79	74
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			15		-	13			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO ·	NO .	1 482*	68%*	YES	498	100	95	95

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENPOLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	PI 1
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	5,027	75%*	1,917	140
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	4,954*	76%	1,901	141
NOTHISPANIC OR LATINO	4.814"	75%	1.829	142
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAITAN OTHER PA	4,985*	75%'	1,901	140
NOTWHITE ()	614'	75%"	232	111
NOT MULTIRAÇÃE	4.816*	75%	1835	141
SENERAL EDUCATION	4,292*	77%	1,681	152
ENGLISH PROFICE INT	5,016*	75%	1.910	140
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	3.560	79%'	1425	154
MALE	2,566*	75%*	955	141
EMALE	2,476*	76%"	968	140
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	5.042*	75%*	1,923	140

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

#### **ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY**

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	MADEAYR	TESTED 80%	THE TEST	PERCENT OF, G ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOR	PI >= EAMO OR PROGRESS TARG ES		S PI	EANO	PROGRESS TARGET
						11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	想 (本語)		
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	845	86%	YES	716	188	185	185
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE		_	2			2			
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	- 11		16			12	_		
HIEPANIGOREATING	YES	YES	43	86%	YES	37	173	165	1
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	-	-	9			9			
White was a re-	NO	YES	727	86%	NO	619	190	191	191
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	81*	74%*	YES	37	178	178	1
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES.	NO	NO	246*	70%*	YES	104†	153†	165	150
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENTS	_		5			5			

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP)	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS EMPOLLED ON BEDS DAY	DI.
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	843	86%	714	188
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	829	86%	704	188
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	602	86%	679	189
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWALLAN/OTHER PA	836	86%	707	188
NOTWHITE	118	83%	97	177
NOTMULTIRACIAL	797	86%	679	189
GENERAL EDUCATION	710	89%	625	194
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	840	86%	711	188
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	586	90%	523	194
MALE	422	84%	350	187
FEMALE	423	87%	366	189
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	845	86%	716	188

<sup>---</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 80 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.


† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

EROUP.	MADEAYP	FESTED 25%	12TH GPADERS	REFCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	SAFE HAREOR		PI	EAMO	SAFE HARBOI TARGET
ALL STUDENTS	YES	YES	513	99%	YES	499	180	173	173
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	- 24	-	3			0	XV-HI		
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	- 1		12			15	_	Tale Pile	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	-		24			25			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC		_	13			13	2		
WHITE	YES	YES	453	99%	YES	435	183	181	181
MULTIRACIAL			8			11			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	95%	NO	601	122†	132	132
UMITEDENGLISH PROFICIENT		_	0			1		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	9796	NO	108	152	158	158

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.



NOT BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	501	99%	484	182
NOT HISPANIC OR LATING	489	99%	474	180
NOTASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHERPA	500	99%	486	180
NOT WHITE TO THE PROPERTY OF T	60	100%	64	164
NOTMULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	181
GENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	188
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	181
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	188
MALE	273	99%	265	176
FEMALE	240	99%	234	185
MIGRANT	0		0	_
NOTMIGRANT	513	99%	499	180

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PLEAMO, and Safe † Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	MADE AVP	TESTED 95%	12TH GRADERS	GRADERS WITH	FL >+ EAMO OR SAFEHARBOR	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY	(P)	EAMO	SAFE HARBON
				VALID TEST SCOPES TAPCLT		COHORT MEMBERS			
ALL STUDENTS	NO	YES	513	99%	NO	499	153	160	160
AMERICAN INDIANORABASKA NATIVE			3		_	0			
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN			12			15			
HISPANIC OR LATINO	-		24			25			35-0
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC			13			13			-
WHITE	NO	YES	453	100%	NO	435	155	170	170
MULTIRACIAL	_		8	= -	28 TH	11		_	
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO '	YES	55	98%	NO	60+	82+	124	112
IMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	-	0			1		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTA GED.	NO	YES	113	99%	NO	108	120	144	142

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	12TH GRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS	PI S
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	510	99%	499	153
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	501	99%	484	155
NOTHISPANIC OR LATINO	489	100%	474	154
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER FA	500	.99%	486	153
NOTWHITE	60	93%	64	139
NOTMUETIRACIAL	505	99%	488	154
GENERAL EDUCATION	458	100%	440	163
ENGUSH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	154
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	162
MALE	273	99%	265	151
FEMALE	240	100%	234	156
MIGRANE	0	en est metan metall mensioning in in including a	0	

— There were fewer than 40.12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PLEAMO, and Safe Har bor Target data are suppressed.
† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities accountabilities accountabilities are suppressed.

#### UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

CROUP	ELEMENTARY, NADOLE-LEVEL ELA PI	ELEMENTARY/ MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHPI	SECONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDAPY-LEVEL MATTER! U	NYVEICHTED COMBINEE
		建作品基本建筑(6%)			
ALLSTUDENTS	120	140	180	153	148
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE					0
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN					0
HISPANIC OR LATINO	88	103	_		96
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC					0
WHITE	122	144	183	155	151
MULTIRACIAL	111	116			114
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	62	122	82	78
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT					0
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	88	100	152	120	115

# - There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

#### OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

GROUP	MADE AYP
LL STUDENTS	YES
MERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	
LACK OF AFRICANAMERICAN	
EPANIC OR LATINO	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	
VI-ITE .	YES
(ULTIRACIAL)	
IUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	YES
IMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	
ICONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES

<sup>-</sup> There were not enough students to make an AYP determination.

# FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	MET GPADUATION-PATE OFFERION.	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION RATE TOTAL COHORT	GPADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROGRESS TARGET									
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	464	92%	80%	80%									
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE		,		_										
HACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN														
HSPANIC OR LATINO		16												
SIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC		8												
WHITE WAS A STATE OF THE STATE	YES	417	92%	80%	80%									
MULTIRACIAL		12			_									
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	YES	56+	70%t	80%	69%									
IMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT		0			_									
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	99	83%	80%	80%									

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.
† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

CROUP	MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION	2011 FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHOPT	GRADUATION RATE	STATESTANDARD	PROGPESS TARGET
ALL STÜDENTS	YES	483	94%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIAN DRALASKA NATIVE		2			
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		9	-		
HISPANIC OR LATINO		10			
ASIAN OR NA TIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC		9			
WHITE	YE5	442	95%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAL		11			
STUDENTS WETH DISABILITIES	YES	54†	69%†	80%	68%
LIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT					
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	86	83%	60%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target
NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.
—There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.
† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of sturtents with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE	2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE
			The State County	
IOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	457	93%	481	94%
OT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	460	92%	474	95%
O'EHISPANIC ORLATINO	448	92%	473	94%
OTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PA	456	92%	474	94%
от white	47	91%	41	90%
OTMULTIRACIAL	452	92%	472	94%
ENÉRALEDUCATION	408	95%	430	97%
NGLISH PROFICIENT	464	92%	482	94%
IOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	365	95%	397	97%
ALE	247	90%	250	91%
EMALE	217	95%	233	97%
<b>MIGRANT</b>	0		0	
OTMIGRANT	464	92%	483	91%

# $Graduation\,Rates\,for\,Regents\,with\,Advanced\,Designation\,and\,CTE\,Endorsement\,for\,Accountability$

 $Percentage of 2012 \,Graduation-Rate \,Total \,Cohort \,members \,who \,graduated \,as \,of \,August \,31, 2016 \,with: \,August \,3$ 

RECENTS DIFLOW WITH AN ADVANCED DESIGNATION (THIS DIFFRICT)		54%
(EQMMETATE HOLL/ADICAD DESIGNATION STATEMAN AND THE SEA		31%
PERCENTAGE IN THIS DISTRICT EXCEEDED STATEWIDE		YES
PEGENTS DIPLOMA WITH CTE ENDO-SEMENT (THIS DISTRICT)		74
egy free on he who, er (a <sub>te</sub> r , ≥ qua <sub>te</sub> , y <sub>t</sub> ).		5%
Property Control (Control (Con		YES IN THE STATE OF THE STATE O
	EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. REATED ON, APRIL 24, 2018, 2:28 PM EST	

# BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G90U3	COMPLETERS (GRADU	ATES + COMMENCEMENT	REDENTIALS)	GRADUATES (PEGENTS	FLOCAL DIPLOMAS)		REGENTSDIPLOMA		
ALL STUDENTS		508		49	7		473	95%	
GENERALEDUCATION		448		44	3		443	99%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		60		49			30	61%	
GROUP	REGENTS WITH ADV	ANCED DESIGNATION	REGENTS WITH	CTE ENDORSEMENT	LOCAL	DIFLOMAS	COMMENCE	MENT CREDENTIALS	
ALL STUDENTS	235	57%	29	6%	24	514	11	2%	
GENERAL EDUCATION	276	62%	25	6%	5	1%	0	0%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	,	18%	4	8%	19	39%	ti	18%	

# HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G₹O□P	DR <b>O</b> PI	PED OUT	ENTERED APPROVED HIGH SCHO	DOLEQUIVALENCY PREPARATION GRAM	TOTALNO	ONCOMPLETERS
ALL STUDENTS	21	1%	2	04	23	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	16	1%	0	0%	16	18
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	5	2'6	2	1%	1	3%

# POST-GRADUATION PLANS OF COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G7OUP	TO FOUR-YE	ARCOLLEGE	IO IWO YE	TO TWO YEAR COLLEGE		ST-SECONDARY	VS ALITIN 3H1 OF	
ALL STUDENTS	282	56%	156	916	8	2%	10	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	274	61%	127	28%	2	G%	10	2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	8	13%	29	48%	6	10%	0	0%
GROUP	TO EMPI	LOWENT	TO AOUL	T SERVICES	FOOTHERK	NOWN PLANS	PLANSU	NK-10WN
ALL STUDENTS	4	9%	15.00	0%	4	18	3	18
GENERAL EDUCATION	29	6%	0	0%	4	1%	2	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	15	25%	1	2%	0	0%	i	2%

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL PIGHT SRESERVED.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 2:20 PM EST

# FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2016 - 17)


#### INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2015 - 16)

(Data are lagged a year.)


Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

# THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT



# SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP AVERAGE NEED/RESOURCE CAPACITY



#### **ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

# GENERAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$33,423,609,457 PUPILS PUPILS 2,649,519 EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL \$12,615 \$31,423

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building-level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tuition from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included.

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of Instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

#### TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL


THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP	NY STATE
\$17,873	\$21,790	\$23,361

Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils. Total Expenditures include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service, community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the Instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education Instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures.

# INFORMATION ABOUT STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (2016 - 17)

Commissioner's Regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

# STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)



The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of purcentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from 100%.

# SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE



This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the classic. In the case of parentally placed Basic Education Data System (BEDS).


Similar District Groups are Identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.

#### MAEE REYNOLDS SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# MAE E REYNOLDS SCHOOL ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

K-12 ENROLLMENT **ENROLLMENT BY GENDER** MALE FEMALE **ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY** GROUP PERCENT BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN 2% HISPANIC OR L'ATINO 13 ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER 1 0% WHITE 388 90% MULTIRACIAL **OTHER GROUPS** ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES **ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED ENROLLMENT BY GRADE** GROUP TOTAL PERCENT K(FULL BAY) 63 15% 1ST GRADE 68 16% 2ND GRÁDE 72 17% 70 16% 81 19% STHGRADE 76 18% UNGRADED ELEMENTARY

# AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)



#### MAE E REYNOLDS SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


# **GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 305

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		EL3	LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	59	37%	19	32%	18	31%	22	37%	0	0%
GENERALEDUCATION	51	43%	11	22%	18	35%	22	43%	0	0%
TUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES	8	O%	. 8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0°6
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	_%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	1	ж.								
WHITE	54	41%	16	30%	16	30%	22	41%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	3	_%								THE ST
MALL GROUP TOTAL	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
EMALE	28	50%	7	25%	7	25%	14	50%	0	0%
MALE	31	26%	12	39%	11	35%	8	26%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	57	_%						2		
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	-%								-
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	23	26%	10	43%	7	30%	6	26%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	36	44%	9	25%	11	31%	16	44%	0	0%
NOT MIGRANT	59	37%	19	32%	18	31%	22	37%	0	0%


# GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	68	44%	13	19%	25	37%	17	25%	13	19%
GENERAL EDUCATION	55	55%	4	7%	21	38%	17	31%	13	24%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	13	0%	9	69%	4	31%	0	0%	0	0%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	1	_%								100
HEPANIC OR LATINO	3	_%								
wнme	60	42%	13	22%	22	37%	15	25%	10	17%
MULTIRACIAL	4	_%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	0	63%	0	0%	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%
FEMALE	38	55%	7	18%	10	26%	13	34%	8	21%
MALE	30	30%	6	20%	15	50%	4	13%	5	17%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	68	44%	13	19%	25	37%	17	25%	13	19%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	27	30%	9	33%	10	37%	4	15%	4	15%




# **GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 308


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLISTUDENTS	64	41%	15	23%	23	36%	18	28%	8	13%
GÉNERALEDUCATION	52	44%	8	15%	21	40%	15	29%	8	15%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	12	25%	7	58%	2	17%	3	25%	0	0%
HISPANICOR LATINO	5	_%								
WHITE	57	42%	11	19%	22	39%	17	30%	7	12%
MULTIRÀCIAL.	2	_%			N. High					
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	29%	4	57%	1	14%	1	14%	1	14%
FEMALE	32	50%	3	9%	13	41%	10	31%	6	19%
MALE	32	31%	12	38%	10	31%	8	25%	2	6%
NON-ENGLISHLANGUÄGELEARNERS	64	41%	15	23%	23	36%	18	28%	8	13%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	22	23%	11	50%	6	27%	2	9%	3	14%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	42	50%	4	10%	17	40%	16	38%	5	12%
NOTMIGRANT	64	41%	15	23%	23	36%	18	28%	8	13%

# **GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	59	36%	15	25%	23	39%	16	27%	5	8%	
GENERALEDUCATION	51	41%	7	14%	23	45%	16	31%	5	10%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	В	0%	8	100%	0	0%	0	Q%	0	O°6	
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	1	_%								And of the	
WHITE	54	37%	13	24%	21	39%	15	28%	5	9%	
MUETIRACIAL	4	-%									
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	20%	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	
FEMALE	29	38%	5	17%	13	45%	9	31%	2	7%	
MALE	30	33%	10	33%	10	33%	7	23%	3	10%	
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	57	_%									
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	_%									
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	22	27%	9	41%	7	32%	5	23%	1	5%	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	37	41%	6	16%	16	43%	11	30%	4	11%	

# **GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 315

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3		EL3	LEVEL 4		
ALLSTUDENTS	68	56%	13	19%	17	25%	18	26%	20	29%
GENERALEDUCATION	53	66%	5	9%	13	25%	15	28%	20	38%
STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	3	20%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	1	%	170							
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	%				7 J				
HISPANIC OR LATINO	3	%							410	
WHITE	59	56%	10	17%	16	27%	17	29%	16	27%
MULTIRACIAL	4	*								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	56%	3	33%	1	11%	ì	1 1%	4	44%
PEMALE	34	56%	7	21%	8	24%	8	24%	11	32%
MALE	34	56%	6	18%	9	26%	10	29%	9	26%
NON-ENGLISH PANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	*								
ENGLIGHTANGUAGELEARNERS	1	*								
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	25	40%	10	40%	5	20%	7	28%	3	12%
NOTECONOMICALÎY DISADVANTAGED	43	65%	3	7%	12	28%	11	26%	17	409
NOTMIGRANT	68	56%	13	19%	17	25%	18	26%	20	29%


# **GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	/EL4	
RELISTUDENTS	67	63%	15	22%	10	15%	27	40%	15	22%	
GENERALEDUCATION	55	75%	8	15%	6	11%	26	47%	15	27%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	12	8%	7	58%	4	33%	1	8%	0	0%	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	5	.%									
WHITE	60	65%	12	20%	9	15%	26	43%	13	22%	
MULTIRÁCIAL	2	%									
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	3	43%	1	14%	1	14%	2	29%	
FEMALE	33	67%	6	18%	5	15%	12	36%	10	30%	
MALE	34	59%	9	26%	5	15%	15	44%	5	15%	
non-english language learners	67	63%	15	22%	10	15%	27	40%	15	22%	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	22	36%	11	50%	3	14%	4	18%	4	18%	

, NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	AE.		There							- par
The state of the s	45	76%	. 4	9%	7	16%	23	51%	11	24%
NOTMIGRANT	67	63%	15	22%	10	15%	27	40%	15	22%

# **GRADE 4 SCIENCE**



				5,0000						
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ÆL 1	LEV	′EL2	LEVEL 3		LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	72	93%	2	3%	3	4%	27	38%	40	56%
GENERAL EDUCATION	57	96%	0	D%	2	4%	17	30%	38	67%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	15	80%	2	13%	1	7%	10	67%	2	13%
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	1	_%				18.185				
LACKOR AFRICANÁMERICAN	1	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATING	3	*					-			
VHITE	63	92%	2	3%	3	5%	23	37%	35	56%
NULTIRACIAL	4	.%								200150
MALL GROUP TOTAL	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	44%	5	56%
EMALE	38	95%	0	0%	2	5%	15	39%	21	55%
ANE STATE	34	91%	2	6%		3%	12	35%	19	56%
ON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	71	-%								30%
NGLIGH LANGUAGE LEARNERS		*								
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	85%	2	8%	2	8%	15	58%	7	27%
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	46	98%	0	0%	1	2%	12	26%	33	72%
NOTMIGRANT	72	93%	2	3%	3	4%	27	38%	40	56%

# RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS (2016 - 17)

GRADE

RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS TAKING MYSESLAT IN HELL OF MYSTP

GRADE RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS NOT TAKING NY SESLAT IN LIEU OF NY STP

# NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	. LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRADESELA	1	_%				
GRADESMATH	1	*				

# NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

		KINDER	GARTEN			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING.	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	2					
		GRA	DE 1			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	1					
		GRA				
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	3					
		GRA	DE 4			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
			ON DEPARTMENT, ALL R ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 3:23			

# MAE E REYNOLDS SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

cson;	MADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS ENFOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	FI >> EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TAPCET	TESTED STUDENTS FNROLLED GIT BEDSDAY	Ĥ.	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR FARGET
ALLSTÜDENTS	NO	NO	454*	86%*	YES	186	118	103	i desirente
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE			0			0			103
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN			3			2			
HISPANICOR LATINO	A. I		10			8	<u>-</u>		
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC			1	_		0	property and an extension		
WHITE	NO	NO	402	86%*	YES	169	118		4
MULTIRACIAL			11			7		116	116
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	82*	87%*	NO	39†	49†	_	
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			4					69	69
ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	169*	85%*	NO NO	69	86	90	90

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP :	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST PE ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	RCENT OF ENPOLLED STUDE HESWITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON GEDS GAY	0
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	454	86%*	186	118
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	445*	86%*	184	117
IOTHISPANIC OR LATING	433*	86%	178	119
IOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PA.	453*	86%*	186	
orwhite	25		17	118
OT MULTIRACIAL	433*	86%*	179	118
ENERALEDUCATION	372	86%*	152	135
NGUSH PROFICIENT	448*	86%*	184	118
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	285*	87%	117	137
ALE.	217*	87%	90	99
EMALE	237*	85%*	96	
IIGRANT	0		0	135
OTMIGRANT	454*	86%*	186	118

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled 'The percent age of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current person to the participation rate criterion.

The percent age of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school district with another organization rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

# ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	MADEAYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	STUDENTS WITH	PI > EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	PI	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
		Ular			14			企业社会	

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	453*	87%*	YES	188	131	101	101
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			0			0			
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN .	_	_	3	_	- 1	2	-	-	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	_		10			7			-
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC		-	1			1	-		
WHITE	NO	NO	401'	87%*	YES	170	134	113	113
MULTIRACIAL			11	-		8	_	-	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	82"	87%*	NO	41†	51†	71	71
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			4			3	- 4		100
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	NO	NO	168*	83%*	YES	66	89	88	88

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

quen	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENGOLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOKES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	Plus Comment
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	453	87%"	188	131
NOTBLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	444	87%*	186	131
NOTHISPANIC OR LATING	432"	87%*	181	132
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PA	452	87%*	187	131
NOTWHITE	25		18	
NOTMULTIRACIAL	432"	87%*	160	133
GENERALEBUCATION	371	87%*	152	151
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	447'	87%*	185	132
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	285'	89:6'	122	154
MALE	216'	90%	95	125
FEMALE	237"	84%*	93	138
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	453	87%"	188	131

— There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

"The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

Ceonb	MADE AYP	TESTED 50%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	PI >= EAMO OR PROGRESS TARGET	FESTED STUDENTS FIROLLED ON BEDSDAY		EAMO	FROGRESS TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	78	92%	YES	72	190	178	178
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			0	<u>-</u>		0			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	_	-	1	2	-	1	10 ± 10		THE WAY
HISPANICORLATINO	-	_	3			3			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	-		1	_	_	1.			-
WHITE	YES	YES	68	93%	YES	63	189	183	183
MULTIRACIAL	-		5			4	_		
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		-	16		-	15	-		
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	-	1		_	1	-	- 1	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	-		31			26			

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTSENROLLED DURINGTHE TEST. ADMINISTRATION PERIOL	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS VITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON PEDS DAY	<b>n</b>	
OT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	78	92%	TALENSA IN ENGINEERS OF THE		
OTBLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	77	92%	72	: 190	
OT HISPANIC OR LATING	75		71	190	
OT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PA	77	92%	69	190	
DT WHITE		92%	71	190	
DT MULTIRACIAL	10		ý		
NERAEEDUCATION	73	93%	68	190	
	62	92%	57	the second second	
GLISH PROFICIENT	77	92%	71	196	
DT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	47	98%	the street of th	190	
N.E.	35		46	198	
MALE	43		34	185	
GRANT	0	88%	38	195	
TMIGRANT			0		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	78	92%	72	190	

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

# UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

GROUP	ELEMENTARY MIDDLE-LEVELELA PI	ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVELIMATH PL	SECONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH P	UNWEIGHTED COMBI
LSTUDENŤS					
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	. 118	131			125
ACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN					0
PANIC OR LATINO					0
IN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.					0
TE .	118	The second secon			0
TIRACIAL		134		-	126
DENTS WITH DISABILITIES	and the second spring on the				0
TED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	49	51			50
	-				
NOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	86	89	the state of the same of	e vermania mineria.	0
					88

<sup>-</sup> There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS PESERVED.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 3:24 PM EST