BALDWINSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

PUBLIC BUDGET DOCUMENT FOR THE 2018 - 2019 BUDGET

VAN BUREN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Plain Language Explanation of 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 1-16
Proposed 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 17-35
Administrative Compensation Information	Page 36
Property Tax Report Card	Page 37-39
Local Government Exemption Impact Report	Page 40-49
The New York State School District Report	
Card for the Baldwinsville Central School	
District	Page 50-94

BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

BALDWINSVILLE CSD ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

K-12 ENROLLMENT

5.520

ENROLLMENT BY GENDER

MALE

FEMALE

2.351

52%

9

48%

ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY

GROUP	
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	Cont.
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1
HISPANIC OR LATINO	
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC IS ANDER	
WHITE	ì
MULTIRACIAL	

TOTAL	PERCENT
15	0%
115	2%
233	4%
67	1%
4,860	88%
120	and the second state of the second state of the second state of the second seco

OTHER GROUPS

ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

37

14%

1.574

29%

ENROLLMENT BY GRADE


GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
(KIFULLDAY)	387	7%
INTERADE	404	7%
2ND GRADE	383	7%
3RD CKADE	396	7%
ATH GRADE	434	8%
STHGRADE	412	7%
STH GRADE	411	7%
UNGRADED ELEMENTARY	9	0%
ZTHGRADE	440	8%
STHGRADE	411	7%
9THGRADE	431	8%
1QTH GRADE	437	8%
SITHGRADE	445	8%
12THGRADE	500	9%
UNGRADED SCONDARY	20	0%

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

GROUP	CLASS SIZE
MMONBRANCE	23
ADE 8 ENGLISH	21
ADE 8 MATHEMATICS	18
ADE 6 SCIENCE	14
ADE 8 SOCIAL STUDIES	21
ADE 10 ENGLISH	22
LADE 10 MATHEMATIKS	23
LADE 10 SCIENCE	24
A DE 10 SOCIAL STUDIES	26
FREE AND REDUCED-PR	ICE LUNCH (2016 - 17)
ELIGIBLE FOR FREE LUNCH	ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH
1.294 23%	170 3%
	- (00.15
ATTENDANCE	
NNUAL ATTENDANCE RATE	95%
STUDENT SUSPENS	SIONS (2015 - 16)
227	ix.
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT	TE (2015-16 TO 2016-17) TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT	
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6%
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS V/ITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT GROUP	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% S (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS V/ITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS V/ITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT GROUP RUNCIPALS SSISTANT PRINCIPALS	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS V/ITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 19% STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SOISTANT PRINCIPALS STHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% STAFF 8 8
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SOISTANT PRINCIPALS THER PROFESSIONALSTAFF ARAPROFESSIONALS	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 57 141
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 19% STAFF COUNT GROUP	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 8 141
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 19% STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SCHEDUNGER STAFF ARAPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFIC	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 57 141 ATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SOSTANT PRINCIPALS THEIR PROFESSIONALS TAFF ARAPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFIC	TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 7 141 ATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 19% STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SCISTANT PRINCIPALS THEIR PROFESSIONAL STAFF ARAPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFIC TAL TEACHERS RECENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERNIFICATE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 6 57 141 ATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 19% STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SCISTANT PRINCIPALS THER PROFESSIONAL STAFF ARAPPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFIC TAL TEACHERS RECENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CENTRICATE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 57 141 ATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER RAT TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 198 STAFF COUNT GROUP RINCIPALS SOISTANT PRINCIPALS THE PROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFIC TAL TEACHERS RCENT WITH NO VALID TEVERHING CENTRE CATE RCENT WITH NO VALID TEVERHING CENTRE CATE RCENT WITH FEWER THAN THREE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS 6% TS (2016 - 17) STAFF 8 8 57 141 ATIONS (2016 - 17) 400 07 444

BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



MEAN SCORE: 310

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	366		77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	322	43%	44	14%	140	43%	132	41%	6	2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	44	2%	33	75%	10	23%	1	2%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	4	_%								10.0
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	18%	5	45%	4	36%	2	18%	0	0%
WHITE	330	39%	63	19%	137	42%	125	38%	5	2%
MULTIRACIAL	18	22%	6	33%	8	44%	3	17%	1	6%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	3	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%
FEMALE	192	45%	27	14%	79	41%	82	43%	4	2-6
MALE	174	30%	50	29%	71	41%	51	29%	2	1%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	361	38%	77	21%	146	40%	132	37%	6	2%
ENGLIST CANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	102	26%	35	34%	40	39%	26	25%	1	1%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	42%	42	16%	110	42%	107	41%	5	2%
NOTMIGRANT	366 .	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%


GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT 43%	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL3		LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	362		59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%
GENERALEDUCATION	311	49%	32	10%	128	41%	109	35%	42	14%
STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES	51	6%	27	53%	21	41%	3	6%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKANATIVE	1	*					16 c. 18			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	2	*								
BLACKOR ÁFRICANAMÉRICAN	7	96								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	29%	6	25%	11	46%	6	25%	1	4%
WHITE	306	44"6	49	16%	121	40%	98	32%	38	12%
MULTIRACIAL	22	36%	2	9%	12	55%	6	27%	2	9%
SMALL GROUP, TÓTAL	10	30%	2	20%	5	50%	2	20%	1	10%
FEMALE	187	52%	25	13%	65	35%	69	37%	28	15%

MALE	175	33%	34	19%	84	48%	43	25%	14	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	_%					. army			
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	-%					-	-		
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	125	26%	38	30%	55	44%	24	19%	8	6%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	237	51%	21	9%	94	40%	88	37%	34	14%
NOTMIGRANT	362	43%	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%


GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



MEAN SCORE: 308

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	129
GENERALEDUCĂTION	299	45%	47	16%	118	39%	93	31%	41	14%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	43	9%	33	77%	6	14%	4	996	0	0.6
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	4	_%								
BLACKORÁFRICANAMERICAN	3	_%						and the second		
HISPANIC OR EATINO	18	33%	8	44%	4	22%	4	22%	2	119
WHITE	301	40%	66	22%	115	38%	85	28%	35	129
MULTIPACIAL	16	50%	6	38%	2	13%	5	31%	3	199
SMÁLT GROUP TOTAL	7	57%	0	0%	3	43%	3	43%	1	14
PEMALE	163	47%	27	17%	59	36%	49	30%	28	179
MALE	179	34%	53	30%	65	36%	48	27%	13	79
non-english languagelearnere	340	_%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	2	_*								
FCONOMICALLY DISADVÁNTAGEÓ	95	20%	41	43%	35	37%	12	13%	7	79
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	48%	39	16%	89	36%	85	34%	34	14
NOTMIGRANT	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12


GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS!	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
GENERAL EDUCATION	269	34%	42	16%	136	51%	60	22%	31	12%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	33	3%	29	88%	3	9%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	_%								
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACKORAFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	AD9K	2	40%	0	n×		001

HISPANICOR LATINO	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	2	13%
WHITE	264	30%	56	21%	128	48%	56	21%	24	9%
MULTIRACIAL	13	54%	3	23%	3	23%	4	31%	3	23%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%
FEMALE	137	36%	23	17%	65	47%	31	23%	18	13%
MALE	165	26%	48	29%	74	45%	30	18%	13	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	62	11%	23	37%	32	52%	5	8%	2	3%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	240	35%	48	20%	107	45%	56	23%	29	12%
NOT MIGRANT	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%


GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



MEAN SCORE: 305

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT 35%	LEV	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	258		57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	
GENERALEDUCATION	223	40%	29	13%	104	47%	78	35%	12	5%	
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	0%	28	80%	7	20%	. 0	0%	0	0%	
AMERICANINDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	2	-%									
ASIAN OF NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	*									
BLACKOR AFRIGANA MERICAN	3	ж. ж		1946		120					
HISPANICOR LATINO	16	13%	4	25%	10	63%	2	13%	0	0%	
WHITE	224	38%	42	19%	96	43%	74	33%	12	5%	
MULTIRACIAL	10	10%	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	8	13%	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	
FEMALE	136	39%	27	20%	56	41%	44	32%	9	7%	
MALE	122	30%	30	25%	55	45%	34	28%	3	2%	
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	68	12%	34	50%	26	38%	8	12%	0	0%	
NGTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED.	190	43%	23	12%	85	45%	70	37%	12	6%	
NOTMIGRANT	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	


GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL1	LEV	/EL 2	ĽΕν	EL 3	LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%
GENERAL EDUCATION	201	56%	20	10%	69	34%	82	41%	30	15%

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	30	7%	21	70%	7	23%	1	3%	1	3%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	.%								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	5	%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	- 11	18%	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%
WHITE	199	53%	31	16%	63	32%	76	38%	29	15%
MULTIRACIAL	12	25%	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	44%	3	33%	2	22%	2	22%	2	22%
FEMALE	116	54%	14	12%	39	34%	45	39%	18	16%
MALE	115	44%	27	23%	37	32%	38	33%	13	11%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	230	*								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						-			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	57	30%	20	35%	20	35%	15	26%	2	4%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	174	56%	21	12%	56	32%	68	39%	29	17%
NOTMIGRANT	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%

GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS



MEAN SCORE: 316

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23%
GENERALEDUCATION	319	64%	29	9%	85	27%	121	38%	84	26%
STUDENTS WITH OISABILITIES	44	16%	27	61%	10	23%	6	14%	1	2%
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.		<u>.</u> %		125-110		243			H. Hall	
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	×								
HISPANICOR LATINO	10	50%	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%
WHITE	327	59%	44	13%	89	27%	119	36%	75	23%
MULTIRACIAL	19	53%	7	37%	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	3	43%
FEMALE .	189	61*6	24	13%	49	26%	69	37%	47	25%
MALE	174	55%	32	18%	46	26%	58	33%	38	22%
non-englisheanguagelearners	358	59%	55	15%	93	26%	126	35%	81	23%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	99	39%	28	28%	32	32%	28	28%	11	11%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	66%	28	11%	63	24%	99	38%	74	28%
NOTHIGRANT	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23%


GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS



MEAN SCORE: 312

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%
GENERALEDUCATION	313	57%	31	10%	105	34%	88	28%	89	28%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	54	11%	28	52%	20	37%	6	11%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	.%	-			on Proper				
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	8	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	50%	7	29%	5	21%	5	21%	7	29%
WHITE	310	51%	44	14%	108	35%	84	27%	74	24%
MULTIRACIAL	21	33%	5	24%	9	43%	4	19%	3	14%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	12	50%	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	5	42%
FEMALE	183	50%	29	16%	63	34%	45	25%	46	25%
MALE	184	50%	30	16%	62	34%	49	27%	43	23%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGÉ LEARNERS	362	50%	59	16%	121	33%	93	26%	89	25%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	121	31%	40	33%	44	36%	24	20%	13	11%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	246	59%	19	8%	81	33%	70	28%	76	31%
NOTMIGRANT	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%

GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS



MEAN SCORE: 317


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%
SENERAL EDUCATION	295	60°6	53	18%	66	22%	115	39%	61	21%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	41	17%	25	61%	9	22%	5	12%	2	5%
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	4	%					-	A DESCRIPTION OF THE		
RACKO PAFRICAN AMERICAN	2	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO.	18	39%	10	56%	1	6%	4	22%	3	17%
унтв	297	56%	64	22%	67	23%	113	38%	53	18%
AULTRACIAL	15	47%	3	20%	5	33%	2	13%	5	33%
MALL GROUP TOTAL .	6	50%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%
EMALE	158	49%	42	27%	39	25%	46	29%	31	20%
MALE	178	60%	36	20%	36	20%	14	42%	32	18%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	334	.%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	***								
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	89	31%	39	44%	22	25%	17	19%	11	12%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	63%	39	16%	53	21%	103	42%	52	21%
NOTMIGRANT	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
GENERAL EDUCATION	272	59%	21	8%	91	33%	87	32%	73	27%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	32	3%	26	81%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	20								
SAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	3	*								
PACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
ISPANICOR LATINO	13	31%	5	38%	4	31%	2	15%	2	15%
VHITE	268	53%	36	13%	89	33%	77	29%	66	25%
NULTIRACIAE	13	77%	2	15%	1	8%	8	62%	2	15%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	5	80%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	3	60%
EMALE	138	51%	20	14%	47	34%	43	31%	28	20%
MALE	166	54%	27	16%	49	30%	45	27%	45	27%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	65	23%	22	34%	28	43%	12	18%	3	5%
KOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED.	239	61%	25	10%	68	28%	76	32%	70	29%
OTMICRANT	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%

GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.




GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
GENERAL EDUCATION	228	52%	26	11%	84	37%	100	44%	18	8%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	0%	23	72%	9	28%	0	0%	0	0%
AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA MATTVE	2	_%						Trust List		
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_96								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4	_%								
HISPANICORIATINO	17	24%	5	29%	8	47%	3	18%	1	6%
WHITE	225	50%	34	15%	79	35%	96	43%	16	7%
MULTIRACIAL	9	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	1	11%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	11%	6	67%	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%
FEM/ALE	141	41%	25	18%	58	41%	49	35%	9	6%
MALE	119	50%	24	20%	35	29%	51	43%	9	8*6
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	72	22%	32	44%	24	33%	12	17%	4	6%

NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	188	54%	17	9%	69	37%	88	47%	14	7%
NOTMIGRANT	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	19	7%

GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP.



MEAN SCORE: 273

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	'EL 1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL 4
ALLSTUDENTS	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%
GENERAL EDUCATION	48	4%	27	56%	19	40%	2	4%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DEABILITIES	26	8%	19	73%	5	19%	2	8%	0	0%
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2	%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	7	*								
WHERE	57	7%	31	54%	22	39%	4	7%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	8	0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%
SMALE GROUP TOTAL	9	0%	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE	38	5%	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	0	0%
MALE	36	6%	21	58%	13	36%	2	6%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	73	%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	27	7%	17	63%	8	30%	2	7%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	47	4%	29	62%	16	34%	2	4%	0	0%
NOTMIGRANT	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%

GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LE	VEL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	4 & A	BOVE	3&A	BOVE
ALSTUDENTS	233	0	0%	0	0%	20	9%	213	91%	233	100%


GRADE 4 SCIENCE



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%
GENERALEDUCATION	337	95%	5	1%	12	4%	99	29%	221	66%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	57	65%	9	16%	11	19%	26	46%	11	19%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	1	*							Anthropis stopping and	
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	9	%					ayaa X			
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	88%	1	4%	2	8%	10	42%	11	46%
WHITE	334	91%	13	496	17	5%	103	31%	201	60%
MULTIRACIAL	23	87%	D	0%	3	13%	6	26%	14	61%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	13	92%	0	0%	1	8%	6	46%	6	46%
FEMALE	200	92%	6	3%	11	6%	61	31%	122	61%
MALE	194	90%	8	4%	12	6%	64	33%	110	57%
NON-ENGELSHLANGVAGE LEARNERS	390	*								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	%			Access to the second					
ECONOMICALLY OF ADVANTAGED	136	78%	13	10%	17	13:6	56	41%	50	37%
NOTECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGED	258	97%	1	0%	6	2%	69	27%	182	71%
NOTMIGRANT	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%

GRADE 8 SCIENCE

Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.



MEAN SCORE: 64


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	'EL 1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%
GENERALEDUCATION	42	60%	1	2%	16	38%	25	60%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	21	29%	4	19%	11	52%	6	29%	0	0%
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	96							1/2	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	9	33%	0	0%	6	67%	3	33%	0	0%
WHITE	47	57%	4	9%	16	34%	27	57%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	5	-%								
SMALE GROUP TOTAL	7	14%	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	0	0%
FEMALE	30	37%	0	0%	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%
MALE	33	61%	5	15%	8	24%	20	61%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	62	*			-					3.00
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	%							1	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	58%	2	8%	9	35%	15	58%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	37	43%	3	8%	18	49%	16	43%	0	0%
NOT MIGRANE	63	49%	5	. 8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%

GRADE 8 STUDENTS, TAKING A REGENTS SCIENCE TEST


Accelerated grade 8 students who take a Regents science test in lieu of the New York State Grade 8 Science Test

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	'EL 1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	259	99%	0	0%	2	1%	105	41%	152	59%

RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS (2016 - 17)




TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	97%	0	0%	0	0%	45	10%	402	87%
STUDENTS WITH DEABLITIES	65	63%	8	12%	5	8%	14	22%	27	42%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_96								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	2	13%	1	7%	6	40%	5	33%
HISPANICOR LATINO	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	23	92%
WHITE	458	93%	5	1%	4	1%	46	10%	381	83%
MULTIPACIAL	15	73%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	7	47%
SMALLGROUPTOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	1	73,	13	87%
FEMALE	250	92%	3	1%	2	1%	16	6%	214	86%
MALE	278	93%	5	2%	3	1%	43	15%	215	77%
MON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	.*	48.5		-					
ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	80%	6	5%	3	3%	23	19%	73	61%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	96%	2	0%	2	0%	36	9%	356	87%
NOTMIGRANT	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%


TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ÆL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	96%	2	0%	7	2%	201	43%	245	53%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	57%	6	9%	14	22%	29	45%	8	12%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%								

ALLSTUDENTS	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	23%	352	4704
GENERALEDUCATION	463	95%	0	0%	5	1%	106	23%		67%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	52%	4	6%	11	17%			336	73%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	-8				17.79	18	28%	16	25%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	13	%			•				•	
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN.	15	73%	0	0%						
HISPANIC OR LATING	. 25	96%			1	7%	7	47%	4	27%
WHITE	458		0	0%	1	4%	8	32%	16	64%
MULTIRACIAL		91%	4	1%	14	3%	101	22%	315	69%
TARREST LA CALLED	15	73%	0	0%	. 0	0%	5	33%	6	40%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%	11	73%
FEMALE	250	90%	2	1%	8	3%	60	24%	164	66%
VALE	278	91%	2	1%	8	3%	64	23%		
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%				4 - 5/4		2370	188	68%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	*	-1			and a surface hains				-
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	75%	14-1-			-				
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408		2	2%	9	8%	42	35%	48	40%
NOTMIGRANT		95%	2	0%	7	2%	82	20%	304	75%
ROT MICHARIT	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	23%	352	67%

TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LE	/EL1**	LEV	/EL 2	LEV	/EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALL STURENTS!	528	92%	4 6	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	
GENERALEDISCATION	463	96%	1	0%	8	2%	122	26%		63%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	65	62%	3	5%	9	14%	29	45%	323	70%
AMERICAN INDIANORALISKA NATIVE	2	_96						45%	11	17%
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	*								-
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%		796	2	13%				-
HISPANICOREATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0		7	47%	4	27%
WHITE	458	93%	2	0-,		0%	12	48%	11	44%
MULTIRACIAL	15	67%			14	3%	126	28%	301	66%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%		7%	1	7%	4	27%	6	40%
FEMALE	250	91%	0	09	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
MALE	278		2	1%	1	3%	75	30%	153	61%
NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	527	92%	2	1%	10	4%	76	27%	181	65%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS		%								
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	1	-%								
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	80%	3	3%	9	8%	52	43%	44	37%
e a francia y a resear a management a management a servici para de la companya de la companya de la companya de	408	95%	1	0%	8	2%	99	24%	290	71%
HOTMIGRANT	528	92.	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	63%

Regents Examination Results (2016 - 17)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

GROUP

TOTAL TESTED LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3


BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	80%	3	20%	0	0%	10	67%	2	13%
HISPANIC OR LATING	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	15	60%	9	36%
WHITE	458	92%	4	1%	19	4%	194	42%	229	50%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	2	13%	7	47%	4	27%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	87%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	9	60%
FEMALE	250	92%	2	1%	10	4%	103	41%	126	50%
MALE	278	91%	6	2%	11	4%	127	46%	127	46%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%							-	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	84%	6	5%	7	6%	76	63%	25	21%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	94%	2	0%	14	3%	154	38%	228	56%
NOT MIGRANT	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%

TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	, LEV	EL4
ALSTUDINTS / ALT	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%
CENERAL EDUCATION	463	95%	2	0%	12	3%	159	34%	280	60%
TUDENTS WITH DISABIUTIES	65	45%	7	11%	12	18%	16	25%	13	20%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	.%								-
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	1	7%	8	53%	3	20%
HISPANICORLATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
WHITE	458	90%	8	2%	20	4%	147	32%	263	57%
MULTIRACIAL	15	67%	0	0%	3	20%	6	40%	4	27%
SMALL GROUP TO TAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
FEMALE	250	88%	. 2	1%	13	5%	83	33%	136	54%
MALE	278	90%	7	3%	11	4%	92	33%	157	56%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUA'GE LEARNERS	527	-%				=	-		104	_
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%	-			-			-	16.6
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	73%	5	4%	13	11%	52	43%	36	30%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	93%	4	1%	11	3%	123	30%	257	63%
NOTMIGRANT	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%

TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



ALL STUDENTS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	318	70%
GENERALEDUCATION	410	9	2%	5	1%	39	10%	46	11/6	311	76%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	11	23%	4	9%	10	21%	5	327	7	15%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE									773	pre	1376
ASTAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	в										
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN.	14	3	21%	1	7%	1	7%	3	21%	6	439
HISPANIC OR LATINO	11	0	0%	0	0%	2	18%	1	9%	8	73
MHITE	407	17	4%	7	2%	43	1 %	56	14%	284	7.9
MULTIRACIAL	14	0	0%	1	7%	3	21%	1	7%	9	64%
SMALLGROUP TOTAL	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	1009
EMALE 1	209	3	1%	3	1%	15	7%	20	10%	168	809
MALE	248	17	7%	6	2%	34	14%	41	17%	150	609
NO NENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	318	709
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANȚĂGED	105	10	10%	4	4%	24	23%	25	24%	42	409
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	352	10	3%	5	196	25	7%	36	10%	276	78%
NOTMIGRANT	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	318	709

ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	(55		5
ALL STUDENTS	15	8	53%	5 (15 to 14 to	40%	3	20%
GENERALEBUCATION	14						
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1						
ANANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	1			are a super the super rapidity to a local			
wint ()	13						
MULTIRACIAL	i						
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	В	53%	6	40%	3	20%
FEMALE	10	4	40%	2	20%	1	10%
MALE	5	4	80%	4	80%	2	40%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	3						
NOTECONO MICALLY DISADVANTAGED	12						
NOTHIGRANT	15	8	53.6	۵	40%	3	20%

ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

ALGEBRAI (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED.	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL 5
ALL STUDENTS	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	31%	181	30%
GENERAL EDUCATION	514	3	1%	20	4%	136	26%	177	34%	178	35%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	85	19	22%	21	25%	34	40%	8	9%	3	4%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2					_					
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	13									102 54	
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN.	15	2	13%	2	13%	9	60%	2	13%	O	0%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	17	0	0%	1	6°6	8	17%	4	24%	4	24%
WHITE	535	20	4%	38	7%	145	27%	163	30%	169	32%
MULTIRACIAL	17	0	0%	0	0%	5	29%	9	53%	3	189
SWALL GROUP TOTAL	15	0	096	o	0%	3	20%	7	47%	- 5	339
EEMALE.	289	9	3%	13	4%	72	25%	102	35%	93	32%
MALE	310	13	4%	28	9%	98	32%	83	27%	88	289
NON-ENGLISH EANGUAGE LEARNERS	594	22	4%	41	7%	168	28%	183	31%	180	309
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	1	209
ECONOMICALLY DISÁBVANTAGED	167	14	8%	18	11%	82	49%	35	21%	18	119
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	432	8	2%	23	5%	88	20%	150	35%	163	389
NOTHIGRAME	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	31%	181	309

COMMON CORE GEOMETRY

REGENTS COMMON CORE GEOMETRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL5
ALESTUDENTS.	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%
GENERALEOUGATION	416	40	10%	57	14%	160	38%	48	12%	111	27%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	13	3	23%	4	31%	5	38%	1	8%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	5	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	7	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%
HISPANICORLATING.	13	2	15%	2	15%	6	46%	2	15%	ì	8%
WHITE	393	34	9%	54	14%	151	38%	47	12%	107	27%
MULTIRACIAL	11	3	27%	3	27%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE	205	19	9%	29	14%	74	36%	27	13%	56	27%
MALE	224	24	11%	32	14%	91	41%	22	10%	55	25%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELLARNERS	427	-									
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2										
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	83	15	18%	22	27%	32	39%	9	11%	5	6%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	346	28	8%	39	11%	133	38%	40	12%	106	31%
NOTMIGRANT	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%

ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL5
ALLSTUDENTS	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%
GENERALEDUCATION	304	13	4%	18	6%	146	48%	83	27%	44	14%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	0	0%	3	27%	7	64%	1	9%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2									A Com	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	7	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	2	29%	2	29%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4										
HISPANICOR LATING	7	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
WHITE	288	10	3%	19	7%	139	48%	78	27%	42	15%
MULTIPACIAL	,	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	6	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%
FEMALE	166	11	7%	13	8%	67	40%	50	30%	25	15%
MALE	149	2	1%	8	5%	86	58%	34	23%	19	13%
non-énguén language learners	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	51	7	14%	6	12%	28	55%	8	16%	2	4%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	264	6	2%	15	6%	125	47%	76	29%	42	16%
NOTMIGRANT	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%

GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	6	5	8	5 .
ALLSTUDENTS	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%
GENERALEDUCATION	422	398	94%	370	88%	213	50%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	75	46	61%	32	43%	5	7%
AMERICAN (NOTAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1						
ASIANORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	4						
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14	8	57%	6	43%	0	0%
HISPANICOR LATINO	14	13	93%	13	93%	5	36%
WHITE	452	408	90%	370	82%	205	45%
MULTIRACIAL	12	10	83%	8	67%	3	25%
SMALE GROUP TOTAL	5	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%
PEMALE	235	225	96%	201	86%	106	45%
MALE	262	219	84%	201	77%	112	43%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	494						
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	3						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	143	117	82%	96	67%	32	22%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	354	327	92 6	306	86%	186	53%
NOTMIGRANT	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%

U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT

REGENTS U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	462	445	97%	424	92%	301	65%
GENERALEDUCATION	416	407	98%	396	95%	289	69%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	46	39	85%	28	61%	12	26%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	8						
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	17	15	88%	12	71%	3	18%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	10	10	100%	9	90%	7	70%
WHITE	410	396	97%	378	92%	276	67%
MULTIRACIAL	14	14	100%	14	100%	6	43%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	11	11	100%	11	100%	9	82%
FEMALE	218	214	98%	202	93%	144	66%
MALE	244	232	95%	222	91%	157	64%
NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	115	106	92%	92	80%	45	39%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	347	340	98%	332	96%	256	74%
NOTMIGRANT	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%

LIVING ENVIRONMENT

REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	55		6	5	i i	35
ALL STUDIE VIS	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%
GENERAL EDUCATION	656	645	98%	627	96%	370	56%
STUDENTS WITH DISABIL MES.	63	50	79%	36	57%	10	16%
american indian or alaska natīve	2						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	16	16	100%	16	100%	13	81%
BLÁCKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14						
HISPANIC OR L'ATINO	19	19	100%	17	89%	7	37%
WOITE	647	627	97%	603	93%	353	55%
MULTIRACIAE	21	21	100%	19	90%	5	24%
SMALL GROUP, TOTAL	16	12	75%	8	50%	2	13%
FEMALE	356	348	98%	331	93%	180	51%
MALE	363	347	96%	332	91%	200	55%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	715					_	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	160	143	89%	120	75%	38	24%
NOTECONÓMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	559	552	99%	543	97%	342	61%
NOTHIGRANT	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%

PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	55			65	3	35
ALL STUDE NTS	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	
GENERALEDUCATION	67	67	100%	65	97%		63%
ASTAN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	1		100%		9/76	42	63%
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	2						
HISPANIC OR LATING	1					-	
WHITE	61	61	100%	59			
MULTIRACIAL	2		100%		97%	39	649
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	6	6	100%				
FEMALE	25	25	100%	25	100%	3	509
MAIE, ^B	42	42	100%	de contraction of the	100%	15	60%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	67	100%	40	95%	27	649
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED			100%	65	97%	42	63*
NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	66						
NOTMIGRANT'	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	

NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRADE C 9 A	3 3 3 3	%				
GRAOE 3 MATH	3	%				
GRADE GELA	i	%		Autopith area	100 100 100	
RADE4MATH	1	*				Control Section 1997
RADE4 SCIENCE	2	%				
RADE SELA	2	16				
RADES MATH	2	*				
RACEGEA	2	%				
RADEGMATH	2	%				
RADE TELA	1	*				
RADEZMATH	1	*				
PADE B ELA	7	100%	0	0	7	0
RADE B MATH	7	43%	1	3	3	0
RADE'S SCIENCE	6	83%	0	1		0
CONDARY-LEVELELA	3	. %				
CONDARY-LEVEL MATH	3	*				
CONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE	3	%				
ECONDARY LEVEL SOCIAL STUDIES	3	*				

NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1					105554 105069517
GENERALEDUCATION	2					

PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	55		6	5	85	
ALLSTUDENTS	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%
GENERAL EDUCATION	32	27	84%	19	59%	3	9%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	20	57%	14	40%	2	6%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	2		-				
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4			÷		_	-
HISPANIC OR LATINO	3						
WHITE	55	40	73%	29	53%	4	7%
MULTIRACIAL	3						
SMALE GROUP TOTAL	12	7	58%	4	33%	1	8%
FEMALE:	24	15	63%	11	46%	1	4%
MALE	43	32	74%	22	51%	4	9%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	66						-
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	33	22	67%	14	42%	1	3%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	34	25	74%	19	56%	4	129
NOTMIGRANT	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%

PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

	(LOEITIO I I I I						
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%
GENERALEDUCATION	419	391	93%	342	82%	95	23%
STUDENTSWITHDISANIUTIES	11	10	91%	5	45%	1	9%
AMERICANIN BIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	3					-	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	7	6	86%	5	71%	2	29%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4			-			
HISPANIC OR LATINO	10	10	100%	8	80%	0	9%
WHITE	397	372	94%	323	81%	93	23%
MULTIRACIAL	9	6	67%	6	67%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	7	100%	5	71%	1	14%
FEMALE	222	209	94%	183	82%	56	25%
MALE	208	192	92	164	79%	40	19%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	429						- 100
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	69	60	87%	46	67%	10	14%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	361	341	94%	301	83%	86	24!5
NOTMIGRANT	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERNIC				
	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	5	0%	33%	17%	50%	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES					i i	
			DE 2			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	5 4	0 %	0%	0%	80%	20%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
		GRA	DE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	7	O	14%	0%	57%	29%
GENERALEDUCATION	7	0%	14%	0%	57%	29%
		GRA	DE4			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING.	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	5	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
		GRA	DE 5			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING -	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	2		ASS 7427 ASS		i na ski sienakt.	
GENERALEDUCATION	2					
		GRA	DE 8			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	ÉMERGING .	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	1			mententi		
GENERAL EDUCATION	ine d					
		GRA	DE 9			
GROUP .	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	4					the contract of the second
GENERAL EDUCATION	4					
		GRAI	DE 10			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING .	COMMANDING
	The second secon	The Revision of State of			estina.	ned a fine in the contract

ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	3		-		•	
		GRAI	DE 12			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS	1					

BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GEOUP CEOUP	MADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTPATION Y FERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES	PI > - EAMO OR SAFE HAFBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS EHROLED ON BEDSDAY	PI	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TAPGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5.050*	74%'	YES	1,848	120	108	108
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			7		- X-102	6		_	
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	NO	NO	87"	67%	NO	530	77	85	82
HISPANICORLATINO	NO	NO	231*	80%*	NO	94	88	92	92
ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIANOTHER PACIFIC		_	25	_	_	20			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,432	73%*	YES	1,614	122	121	121
MULTIRACIAL	МО	NO	228*	73%*	YES	88	111	101	101
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	751*	68%*	NO	272†	47†	78	62
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	_	_	15			12	_	_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO .	МО	1,486*	70%*	NO	504	88	97	94

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST F	ERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	P
OT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	5 035°	74%*	1842	120
OTHLACK CRAFFICAN AMERICAN	4,963*	74%*	1.822	120
O H.S. ANICORIATINO	4 819*	73%*	1.754	121
O ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWA IAN OTHER PA_	4 993.	73%*	1,826	119
or white	618*	76%'	234	100
OT MULTIPACIAL	4.822	74%'	1,760	120
ENERAL LEDUCATION	4,299*	75%'	1,604	131
GUSPPROFICIENT	5 024"	73%'	1,836	120
ECONO MICAUYOISAD VANTAGED	3,564	75%*	1.344	132
All the second of the second of the	2 570*	73%*	922	108
MALE	2.480'	74%'	926	131
dan mereka	0		0	
DT RANT	5.050"	74%*	1 848	120

[—] There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed. OR there were fewer than 30 lested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PL EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

TARGET

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5,042*	75%*	YES	1,923	140	107	107
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	-		7		= 100	6			
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	NO	NO	88*	61%	NO	470	72	80	78
HISPANICORLATINO	NO	NO	226*	80%*	YES	94	103	90	90
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.		-	25		-	22			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,428*	75%*	YES	1,691	144	118	118
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	226*	73%*	YES	88	116	95	95
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	750*	66%*	NO	269†	62†	79	74
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		-	15			13		\geq	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	1.482*	68%"	YES	498	100	95	95

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENPOLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOPES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	n C
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE';	5.027*	75%*	1,917	140
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	4,954*	76%	1,901	141
NGTHEPANIC OR LATINO	4.814	75%	1.829	142
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PA	4,985*	75%*	1,901	140
NOTWHITE 2	614	75%*	232	111
NOTMULTIRACIALE	4,816*	75%	1.835	141
GENERALEDUCATION	4.292*	77%	1,681	152
ENGLISHPROFICIËNE	5,016*	75%*	1.910	140
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	3,560	79%	1,425	154
MALE	2.566	75%*	955	141
FEMALE	2,476*	76%	968	140
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	5 042*	75%*	1,923	140

[—] There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

"The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

† This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

Gron.	HADE AYP	TESTED 80%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	STUDENTSWITH	PROGRESS TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	Pi fi	EAMO	PROGRESS TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	845	86%	YES .	716	188	185	185
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE			2			2			
BEACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	- 1		16	-		12			
HISPANICORIATINO	YES	YES	43	86%	YES	37	173	165	1
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	-		9		_	9	_	-	
WHITE	NO	YES	727	86%	NO	619	190	191	191
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	81*	74%*	YES	37	178	178	1
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	246*	70%	YES	104†	153†	165	150
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-		5	-		5			

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ET ROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	Pt
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	, 843	86%	714	188
NOTBLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	829	86%	704	188
NOT HISPANIC OR LATING	802	86%	679	189
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWALIAN OTHER PAL.	836	86%	707	188
NOTWHITE	118	83%	97	177
NOT MULTIRACIAL	797	86%	679	189
GENERAL EDUCATION	710	89%	625	194
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	840	86%	711	188
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	586	90%	523	194
MALE	422	84%	350	187
FEMALE	423	87%	366	189
MIGRANT	0		0	-1
NOTMIGRANT	845	86%	716	188

There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 80 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

The cludes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CEOUP	MACEAYP	TESTED 95N	12TH GPADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	SAFE HARBOR		(A)	₹AHO	SAFE HARBO TARGET
ALL STUDENTS	YES	YES	513	99%	YES	499	180	173	173
ALTITICANIPIDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			3			0			AND THE
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN			12	_		15			_
HISPANIC OR LATINO	-		24	_		25		_	_
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWARAN/OTHER PACIFIC			13			13			
WHITE	YES	YES	453	99%	YES	435	183	181	181
MULTIRACIAL		_	8			11			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	95%	NO	60 t	122†	132	132
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		=	0			1			12
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	97%	NO	108	152	158	158

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	12th Graders	PERCENT OF 12TH CRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS	e p

NOT BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	501	99%	484	182
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	489	99%	474	180
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	500	99%	486	180
ЮТ WНПЕ	60	100%	64	164
IOTMULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	181
ENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	188
NGLISH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	181
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	188
ALE	273	99%	265	176
EMALE	240	99%	234	185
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	513	99%	499	180

[—] There were fewer than 40 12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PI. EAMO, and Safe † Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities.

SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CROUP.	MADEAYP ()	TESTED 05%	12TH GRADERS	FERCENT OF 12TH GPADERS WITH VALID TEST SCOPES	FI >+ EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET		PI	EAMO	SAFE HARBO TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	YES	513	99%	NO	499	153	160	160
AMERICAN INDIAN OR AZASKA NATIVE	da 2019	-	3			0			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN			12			15			
HISPANIC OR CATINO	_		24			25			
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.		_ 5	13			13			
WHITE	NO	YES	453	100%	NO	435	155	170	170
MULTIPACIAL	982200		8			11			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO .	YES	55	98%	NO	60 t	82†	124	112
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			0	_		1			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	МО	YES	113	99%	NO	108	120	144	142

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	12TH GRADERS	127H GRADERS PERCENT OF 127H GRADERS VITH VALID 2013 ACCOUNTABILITY CONORT M TEST SCORES				
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	510	99%	499	153		
NOTELACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	501	99%	484	155		
NOTHISPANICORLATINO	489	100%	474	154		
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHERPA	500	99%	486	153		
NOTWHITE	60	98%	64	139		
NOTMULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	154		
GENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	163		
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	154		
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	162		
MALE	273	99%	265	151		
FEMALE	240	100%	234	156		
MIGRANT	0		0			

— There were fewer than 40.12 th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PI. EAMO, and Safe Har bor Target data are suppressed.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

GROUP	ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVELELAPI	ELEMENTARY/ MODULE-LEVEL MATH PL	SECONDARY LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDAPY-LEVEL MATTER UN	INCIGHTED COMBINED P
ÄLLSTUDENTS	120	140	180	153	148
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE					0
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN					0
HISPANICORLATINO	88	103			96
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC			-		0
WHITE	122	144	183	155	151
MÜLTIRACIAL	111	116			114
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	47	62	122	82	78
UMITEDENGUS PROFICIENT.					0
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	88	100	152	120	115

⁻ There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

GROUP	MADEAYP	
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN		
HISPANIC OR LATINO		
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER		
itim.	YES	1 3 7 1
IULTIRACIAL		
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	YES	
IMITTED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		
ICONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	

⁻ There were not enough students to make an AYP determination.

FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	MET GPADUATION PATE CRITERION	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-PATE TOTAL COHORT	GPADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROGRESS TAPCET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	464	92%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE		7			
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN					
HISPANIC OR LATINO		16			<u> </u>
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.		8			
WHITE	YES	417	92%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAE		12			
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	YES	561	70%t	80%	69%
LIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT		0			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	99	83%	80%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION.	2011 FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATETOTAL COHORT	GPADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROCRESS FARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	483	94%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE		2			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		9		_	
HISPANIC OR LATINO		10			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC		9			
WHITE	YES	442	95%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAL		11			
STUDENTS WETH DISABILITIES	YES	54†	69%†	80%	68%
LIMITEDENGLISH PROFICIENT		1			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	86	83%	60%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

—There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL						
	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE	2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE			
IOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	457						
		93%	481	94%			
OT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN 2	460	92%	474	95%			
OTHISPANIC OR LATINO	448	92%	473	94%			
OTASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHERPA	456	92%	474	94%			
OTWHITE	47	91%	41	90%			
OT MULTIRACIAL	452	92%	472	94%			
ENERAL EDUCATION	408	95%	430	97%			
NGLISH PROFICIENT	464	92%	482	94%			
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	365	95%	397	97%			
MALE	247	90%	250	91%			
TMALE	217	95%	233	97%			
AIGRANT	0		0				
OTMIGRANT	464	92%	483	94%			

[—] There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

$Graduation\ Rates\ for\ Regents\ with\ Advanced\ Designation\ and\ CTE\ Endorsement\ for\ Accountability$

 $Percentage \ of \ 2012\ Graduation-Rate\ Total\ Cohort\ members\ who\ graduated\ as\ of\ August\ 31,2016\ with;$

REGENTS DIFFON'S WITH AN ADVANCED DESIGNATION (THIS DISTRICT)		56%
RECENTS DIFLOMA WITH AN ADVANCED DESIGNATION STATEWIDE		31.6
PERCENTAGE IN THIS DISTRICT EXCEEDED STATEWOF		YES
PEGENTS DIPLOMA WITH CTE ENDORSEMENT (THIS DISTRICT)		R
発表: / Mr. 10/6 Stu Vinte Propries Student Aus.		5%
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF		YES
	TE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, AU, RIGHTS RESE NS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 2/28 PM EST	arved.

BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G200P	COMPLETERS (GRADU)	COMPLETERS (GRADUATES + COMMENCEMENT CHEDENTIALS)		GRADUATES (REGENT	GRADUATES (REGENT \$ + LOCAL DIPLOMAS)			IMA
ALL STUDENTS		508		49	17		173	95%
GENERAL EDUCATION		448		443			143	99%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		60		49	•		30	61%
GROUP	REGENTS WITH ADV	ANCED DESIGNATION	REGENTSWITE	H CTE ENDORSEMENT	LOCALE	DIPLOMAS	COMMENCE	MENT CREDENTIALS
ALL STUDENTS	285	57%	29	6%	24	5%	11	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	276	62%	25	6%	5	1%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	,	18%	4	8%	19	39%	11	19%

HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

	- · · · · -	(-010 1)	,				
G≂∷∪₽	OF C PP6	100 G	ENTERED		SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY PREPARATION PROGRAM	TOTAL NONCO	FLETERS.
ALL STUDENTS	21	1"6		2	0%	23	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	16	1%		0	0%	16	1%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	5	2%		2	1%	1	3%

POST-GRADUATION PLANS OF COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

			•	•				
Gtour	TO FOUR-YE	EAR COLLEGE	10 TWO-YE	ARCOLLEGE	TC OTHER PIO	ST-SECONDARY	TOTHE	ALLIFRY
ALL STUDENTS	282	56%	156	31%	8	2%	10	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	274	61%	127	28%	2	6%	10	29
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	8	13%	29	48%	6	10%	0	0%
GROUP	TOEMP	LOVMENT	TO ADUI.T	SERVICES	TOOTHERK	NOWN PLANS	PLANS U	TKNOWN
ALL STUDENTS	44	9%		0%	4	1%	3	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	29	6%	0	0%	4	1%	2	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	15	25%	1	2%	0	016		2%

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS PRESERVED.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APPIL 24, 2018, 2:30 PM EST

FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2016 - 17)

INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2015 - 16)

(Data are lagged a year.)

Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

GENERAL EDUCATION SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES **INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES** \$52,548,115 \$18,374,693 **PUPILS PUPILS** 5.542 743 **EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL** \$9,482 \$24,730 SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP **AVERAGE NEED/RESOURCE CAPACITY GENERAL EDUCATION** SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES **INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES** \$8,395,886,432 \$3,487,990,842 **PUPILS PUPILS** 747,643 110.460 **EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL** \$11,230 \$31,577

ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

GENERAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$33,423,609,457 PUPILS PUPILS 2,649,519 EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$14,485,942,729 PUPILS PUPILS EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

\$31.423

\$12.615

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building-level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tultion from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included,

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of Instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL


THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP	NY STATE
\$17,873	\$21,790	\$23,361

Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils: Total Expenditures Include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education As such, the sum of General Education and Special Education Instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures

INFORMATION ABOUT STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (2016 - 17)

Commissioner's Regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)



The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from 100%.

SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE

		SOUTH TOTAL
THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP	NYSTATE
13.3%	13.4%	14.9%

This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special-education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the SiRS and from the

Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.

VAN BUREN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


VAN BUREN ELEME	NTARY SCHOOL ENROI	LLMENT (2016 - 17)
K-12 ENROLLMENT		500
EN	ROLLMENT BY GENDE	R
MALE		FEMALE
247	49% 253	514
ENF	ROLLMENT BY ETHNICI	TY
GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE		0%
ILACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	196
HISPANICORLATINO	15	3%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	3	1%
WHITE	454	91%
MULTIPACIAL	24	5%
	OTHER GROUPS	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	ECONOMICALLY DISA() VANTAGED
7	71 14%	
		161 32%
EI	NROLLMENT BY GRAD	E
GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
K(FULLDAY)	80	16%
1ST GRADE	80	16%
2ND GRADE	91	18%
SRD GRADE	75	15%
4TH GRADE	92	18%
STHGRADE	79	16%
Ungraped elementary	3	1%

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

GROUP CLASS SIZE COMMONBRANCH 23 FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH (2016 - 17) ATTENDANCE (2015 - 16) ANNUALATTENDANCERATE STUDENT SUSPENSIONS (2015 - 16) STAFF COUNTS (2016 - 17) GROUP STAFF ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS 0 OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF ARAPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS (2016 - 17) TOTAL TEACHERS PERCENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE PERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE PERCENT WITH FEWER THAN THEEE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE PERCENTAGE WITH MASTERS DEGREEPLUS 30 HOLDS OR DOCTORATE TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSES PERCENT TAUGHT BY TEACHERS WITH HIT APPROPRIATE CERTIFICATION

VAN BUREN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



MEAN SCORE: 307

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	71	35%	17	24%	29	41%	24	34%	1	1%
GENERALEDUCATION	61	41%	9	15%	27	44%	24	39%	1	2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES.	10	0%	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	1	-%		- 4				a L	224 103	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	1	_%							_	
WHITE	64	38%	15	23%	25	3996	23	36%	1	2%
MULTIRACIAL	5	_%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	14%	2	29%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
FEMALE	38	39%	6	16%	17	45%	15	39%	0	0%
MALE	33	30%	11	33%	12	36%	9	27%	1	346
NOM-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	69	-%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	_%	-	5 5 5 5 6 6						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVÁNTAGED	26	23%	7	27%	13	50%	6	23%	0	0%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	45	42%	10	22%	16	36%	18	40%	ı	2%
NOTMIGRANT	71	35%	17	24%	29	41%	24	34%	1	1%

GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LE	/EL 1	LEV	ÆL 2	LEV	/EL3	// LE∨	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	74	47%	9	12%	30	41%	23	31%	12	16%
GENERAL EDUCATION	63	52%	5	8%	25	40%	21	33%	12	19%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	18%	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	1	-%					100		5 层层	la de
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	100	*								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	2	_%								
WHITE	66	48%	8	12%	26	39%	21	32%	11	17%
MULTIRACIAL	4	_%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	8	38%	1	13%	4	50%	2	25%	1	13%
FEMALE	43	56%	6	14%	13	30%	16	37%	8	19%
MALE	31	35%	3	10%	17	55%	7	23%	4	13%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	72	-%								

ENGLISHLANGUAG	E LEARNERS
ECONOMICAELYDI	SADVANTAGED
NOTECONOMICAL	LY DISADVANTAGED
NOTMIGRANT	

2	_%			11 11 1					
27	26°6	7	26%	13	48%	5	19%	2	7%
47	60%	2	4%	17	36%	18	38%	10	21%
74	47%	9	12%	30	41%	23	31%	12	16%


GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS



MEAN SCORE: 313

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	62	524	11	18%	19	31%	23	37%	9	15%
GENERALEDUCATION	57	56%	6	11%	19	33%	23	40%	9	16%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	5	0%	5	100%	o	0%	0	0%	Ó	0%
WHITE	58	*								
MULTIRACIAL	4	%								3
MALL GROUP TOTAL	62	52%	11	18%	19	31%	23	37%	9	15%
FEMALE	32	56%	4	13%	10	31%	12	38%	6	19%
MALE	30	47%	7	23%	9	30%	11	37%	3	10%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	62	52%	11	18%	19	31%	23	37%	9	15%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	14	43%	4	29%	4	29%	5	36%	1	7%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	48	54%	7	15%	15	31%	18	38%	8	17%
NOTMIGRANT	62	52%	11	18%	19	31%	23	37%	9	15%


GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	69	55%	17	25%	14	20%	25	36%	13	19%
GENERAL EDUCATION	59	63%	9	15%	13	22%	24	41%	13	22%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	10	10%	8	80%	1	10%	1	10%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PAGIFIC	1	.%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	1	_%								
WHITE	62	55%	14	23%	14	23%	23	37%	11	18%
MULTIRACIAL	5	_%				H. S.				
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	57%	3	43%	0	0%	2	29%	2	29%
FEMALE	36	53%	9	25%	8	22%	10	28%	9	25%
MALE	33	58%	8	24%	6	18%	15	45%	4	12%
NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	.%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	-%				10.00		first fil		

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	25	40%	8	32%	7	28%	8	32%	2	8%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	44	64%	9	20%	7	16%	17	39%	11	25%
NOTMIGRANT	69	55%	17	25%	14	20%	25	36%	13	19%


GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS



MEAN SCORE: 315

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	74	54%	10	14%	24	32%	18	24%	22	30%
GENERALEDUCATION	63	62%	5	8%	19	30%	17	27%	22	35%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	9%	5	45%	5	45%	1	9%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	1	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	2	_16								
WHITE	66	55%	9	14%	21	32%	18	27%	18	27%
MULTIRACIAL	3	_96			374.43					
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	8	50%	1	13%	3	38%	0	0%		50%
FEMALE .	44	48%	8	18%	15	34%	7	16%	14	32%
MALE	30	63%	2	7%	9	30%	11	37%	6	27%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	72	_96								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	%								
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	26	42%	6	23%	9	35%	7	27%	4	15%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	48	60%	4	8%	15	31%	11	23%	18	38%
NOT MIGRANT.	74	54%	10	14%	24	32%	18	24%	22	30%


GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	61	51%	12	20%	18	30%	20	33%	11	18%
GENERALEDUCATION	55	53%	9	16%	17	31%	19	35%	10	18%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	6	33%	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%
WHITE	57	_%				Heris E				TO BE
MULTIRACIAL	4	_%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	61	51%	12	20%	18	30%	20	33%	11	18%
FEMALE	32	44%	9	28%	9	28%	6	19%	8	25%
MALE	29	59%	3	10%	9	31%	14	48%	3	10%

non-english language learners	61	51%	12	20%	18	30%	20	33%	11	18%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	15	40%	5	33%	4	27%	5	33%	1	7%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	46	54%	7	15%	14	30%	15	33%	10	22%
NOTMIGRANT	61	51%	12	20%	18	30%	20	33%	11	18%

GRADE 4 SCIENCE



VIII (VOCONE. OI											
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LE\	/EL 1	LEV	/EL2	LEVEL 3		LEVEL4		
ALLSTUDENTS	86	88%	7	8%	3	3%	22	26%	54	63%	
GENERALEBUCATION	73	92%	4	5%	2	3%	16	22%	51	70%	
TUDENTS WITH DISASILITIES	13	69%	3	23%	1	8%		46%	3	23%	
MERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	*		MODE						237	
SIAN OR NATIVENAWALIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	1	_%				To Educate					
LACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	*								-	
IISPANIC ORLATINO	2	_96									
WHITE	77	88%	7	9%	2	3%	21	27%	47	61%	
MULTIRACIAL	4	. *									
MALL GROUP TOTAL	9	89%	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	7	78%	
EMALE	50	90%	1	8%	1	2%	12	24%	33	66%	
(ALE	36	86%	3	8%	2	6%	10	28%	21	58%	
ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	85	-%								36%	
NGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%					7				
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	36	75%	6	17%	3	8%	10	28%	17	47%	
OTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	50	98%	1	2%	0	0%	12	24%	37	74%	
OTMIGRANT	86	88%	7	8%	3	3%	22	26%	54	63%	

NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRADESELA		_%				
GRADE 9 MATH	1	.%				
GRADE 4 ELA	1	_%				
GRADE 4 MATH	1	.%		-		
GRADE 4 SCIENCE	1	_%				
GRADESELA	1	*6				
GRADE 5 MATH	1	_%				

NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

		GRA	DE 2		A CHARLES	
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALSTUDENTS	3					
GENERAL EDUCATION	2					
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
			DE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING.	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	2					
GENERAL EDUCATION :	2					
		GRA	DE 4			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	2 2					
		EW YORK STATE EDUCAT OCUMENT WAS CREATED				

VAN BUREN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CROUP	MADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THETEST ADMINISTRATION FERICO	STUDENTSWITH	PI>-EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDSDAY	(1) 2	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR FARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	487*	84%*	YES	208	128	103	103
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	-	-	1			1	_	_	
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		-	1	-		0	-		
HISPANIC OR LATINO			6			4			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC			2			2	_		_
WHITE	NO	NO	436'	85%*	YES	189	129	116	116
MULTIRACIAL	-	-	15			12	_	_	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	-		36		i i	29		_	
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		_	4		- <u> </u>	4			_
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	174*	78%*	YES	68	104	90	80

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STADENTS EMPOLIED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENTOLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	n - C
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	485	84%*	207	128
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	485*	84%*	208	128
NOT HISPANIC OR LATING	473*	85%	204	128
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PAL	482'	84%*	206	128
NOT WHITE	25		19	
IOT MULTIPACIAL	459"	85%*	196	128
ENERALEDUCATION	422'	85%*	179	139
NGLISHPROFICIENT	481*	84%*	204	128
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	313'	88%*	140	139
AALE	230'	84%*	96	118
EMALE	257	84%*	112	137
AIGRANT.	0		0	
YOTMIGRANT	487*	84%*	208	128

[—] Therewere fevrer than 40 students enrolled during the testadministration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR therewere fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PLEAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	FRADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDEN 15 ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	STUDENTSWITH	PI>+ EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDSDAY	P1 -	EAMO	SAFE HARDOR TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	487*	83%*	YES	206	134	101	101

AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE		-	1	_	an =	1		-	
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	-	_	1	-	_	0		_	
HISPANICORLATINO		-	6		-	4	_	the states we as also.	
ARAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	-	-	2	Total or definition with		2		— (man or man)	
WHITE	NO	NO	436*	84%*	YES	187	136	113	113
MULTIRACIAL	-		15	-		12			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	YES		36	-	YES	37 †	76 †	70	20
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-		4		-	4			_
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	174*	76%	YES	67	112	88	88

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	PI_
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	485*	83%	205	135
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	485"	83%	206	134
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	473'	84%	202	135
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	482"	83%*	204	134
NOTWHITE	25		19	
NOT MULTIRACIAL	459*	83%	194	136
GENERALEQUICATION	422*	83%	176	146
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	481*	83%	202	134
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	313"	87%	139	145
MALE	230*	84%*	94	146
FEMALE	257'	82%*	112	125
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	487	83%	206	134

— There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

Скопр	MADEAYP	TESTED 80%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION (PERIOD	STUDENTSWITE		TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	R	EAMO	PROGRESS FARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	94	93%	YES	84	181	178	178
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			1		_	1			
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		-	1		_	0			
HISPANICORLATINO			3		_	2	_		
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC			1			1			
WHITE	NO	YES	82	95%	NO	76	180	184	184
MUETIRACIAL			6			4			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	_		15			13		<u></u>	
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			1						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES		39	<u> </u>	YES	34	159	166	

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GPOUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED DUFING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENPOLLED ON BEDS DAY	DSDAY PL	
IOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE "	93	92%	83		
OTELACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	93	92%	84	81	
OTHISPANIC OR LATING	91	93%	82	181	
OFASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	93	92%	83	182	
OT WHITE.	12			181	
OTMULTIRACIAL	88	94%	8		
ENERALEDUCATION	79	92%	80	180	
NGLISH PROFICIENT	93		71	186	
OT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	55	92%	83	181	
ALE	39	91%	50	196	
MALE			35	180	
IGRANT	55	91%	49	182	
OTMIGRANT	0		0		
	94	93%	84	181	

[—]There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO and Progress Target data are suppressed.

UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

CROUP	ELEMENTARY MIDDLE-LEVEL FLAFT	ELEMENTARY/MIDOLE-LEVEL MATH PI	SECONDARVLEVELELA FI	ECONDARY LEVEL MATH PY	UNWEIGHTED COMBINE
LLSTUDENTS	128	134			
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE					131
ACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN					0
SPANIC OR LATINO					0
SANOR NATIVE HAWAITAN/OTHER PACIFIC					0
ние					0
	129	136			133
URTHACIAL					0
UDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	<u> </u>	76			76
MITTED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			The parties would have		
ONDMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	104	112			0

⁻ There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.